

**Tab 3**

**Philadelphia Gas Works**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
52 Pa. Code §53.61, et seq.

**Item 53.64(c)** Thirty days prior to the filing of a tariff reflecting an increase or decrease in natural gas costs, each Section 1307(f) gas utility seeking recovery of purchased gas costs under that section shall provide notice to the public, under § 53.68 (relating to notice requirements), and shall file the following supporting information with the Commission, with a copy to the Consumer Advocate, Small Business Advocate and to intervenors upon request:

- (4) An annotated listing of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or other relevant non-Commission proceedings, including legal action necessary to relieve the utility from existing contract terms which are or may be adverse to the interest of its ratepayers, which affect the cost of the utility's gas supply, transportation or storage or which might have an impact on the utility's efforts to provide its customers with reasonable gas service at the lowest price possible. This list shall include docket numbers and shall summarize what has transpired in the cases, and the degree of participation, if any, which the utility has had in the cases. The initial list filed under this paragraph shall include cases for the past 3 years. Subsequent lists need only update prior lists and add new cases.

**Response:**

Please see PGW's FERC Book attached for a synopsis of all cases pending before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**P** HILADELPHIA

**G** AS

**W** ORKS

**FERC BOOK**

**2011**

Prepared and maintained by the Federal Regulatory Affairs Department of the Philadelphia Gas Works.

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
CP11-20	Transco	Abandonment of Offshore Supply Lateral
CP11-42	Dominion	Refunctionalization of Line T-404
CP11-43	Equitrans	Abandonment By Transfer Of The Big Sandy Pipeline
CP11-45	Transco	Abandonment of Offshore Supply Lateral
CP11-53	Texas Eastern & Transco	Backhaul Entitlements on Jointly Owned Facilities
CP11-56	Texas Eastern	New Jersey-New York Expansion Project
CP11-65	Dominion	Replacement of Pipeline Facilities
CP11-67	Dominion	Pipeline Construction– TEAM 2012 Project
CP11-68	Equitrans	Sunrise Project Construction
CP11-71	Dominion	Pipeline Construction – Prior Notice
CP11-103	Texas Eastern	Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Gulf of Mexico Laterals
CP11-118	Texas Eastern	Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 41-A-6
CP11-138	Texas Eastern	Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 41-B-1 & Line 41-B-1-A
CP11-216	Texas Eastern	Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 40-B-4-B
CP11-477	Texas Eastern	Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 40-B-4-C
CP11-491	Transco	Pipeline Facility Abandonment of South Marsh Island Block

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
CP11-493	Dominion	Establishment of Storage Pool Protective Boundary
CP11-504	Transco	Authorization To Abandon Compressor Station
CP11-506	Texas Eastern	Authorization To Increase Compressor Station Power
CP11-508	Texas Eastern	Authorization To Construct Philadelphia Lateral
CP11-524	Texas Eastern	Authorization To Abandon Uniontown Compressor Station
CP11-528	Transco	Application to Abandon Storage Service Provided to Greenwood, South Carolina
CP11-537	Dominion	Application to Abandon East Emporium Measurement and Regulation facility
CP11-538	Dominion	Application to Abandon Rate Schedules X-71 and X-72
CP11-540	Dominion	Pipeline Facility Addition – Marshall County Expansion Project
CP11-551	Transco	Abandonment – Eminence Storage Caverns 1-4
CP12-13	Equitrans	Pipeline Facility Addition – Blacksville Compression Project
CP12-14	Transco	Compression Service Abandonment
CP12-19	Dominion	Pipeline Facility Addition – Tioga Area Expansion Project
CP12-20	Dominion	Pipeline Facility Addition - Sabinsville to Morrisville Project
CP12-32	Equitrans	Pipeline Facility – Partial Abandonment/Transfer – Sunrise Project
CP12-67	Texas Eastern	Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – PSE&G Energy Resources & Trade LLC

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
RP11-1617	Equitrans	Non-Conforming Agreement Compliance Filing
RP11-1630	Texas Eastern	Non-Conforming Agreement Compliance Filing
RP11-1632	Texas Eastern	Defined Firm Hourly Flow Flexibility at Firm Points of Delivery
RP11-1669	Texas Eastern	Current Unit EPC Changes and Revised EPC Surcharges
RP11-1691	Texas Eastern	Changes To Limitations on Maximum Daily Receipt & Daily Delivery Obligations
RP11-1695	Dominion	Limitations on Levels of Ethane and Heavier Hydrocarbons In Gas
RP11-1706	Dominion	Modification of Rate Schedule FT/FTNN
RP11-1713	Transco	Changes to Forms of Service Agreement for Rate Schedules FT, ESS, and FDLS
RP11-1714	Transco	Payment of Interest on Overcharges and Undercharges
RP11-1717	Texas Eastern	Gas Quality and Interchangeability Issues
RP11-1725	Transco	Tracking of Rate Changes in Rate Schedule X-28
RP11-1736	Transco	Allocation of Measured Quantities at Non-Pipeline Interconnects and Unauthorized Daily Overruns
RP11-1755	Texas Eastern	Allocation of Measured Quantities at Non-Pipeline Interconnects and Unauthorized Daily Overruns
RP11-1759	Transco	Authorization of Negotiated Rate Storage in Excess of Maximum Tariff Rate
RP11-1786	Dominion	Restructured Agreements With Statoil Natural Gas LL
RP11-1787	Transco	Redetermination of Fuel Retention Percentages Applicable to Transportation and Storage

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
RP11-1792	Dominion	Modification to Form of Service Agreements
RP11-1832	Transco	Proposal To Recover Electric Power Costs
RP11-1848	Equitrans	Non-Conforming Service Agreement for Stand Energy Corporation
RP11-1859	Equitrans	Modification to Pipeline Safety Cost Tracker Surcharge
RP11-1961	Equitrans	Rate Schedule FTS Service Agreement with Mountain V Oil and Gas
RP11-1969	Equitrans	Modification of Standards Governing Index-Based Capacity Release and Flexible Delivery and Receipt Points
RP11-1984	Transco	Method of Resolving Imbalances Created as a Result of Prior Period Adjustments
RP11-1994	Transco	Removal of Rate Schedule SS-1 Open Access Storage Service from FERC Tariff
RP11-1995	Transco	Abandonment of Storage Service to Atlanta Gas Light Company
RP11-2005	Transco	Revision and Addition to Tariff General Terms & Conditions
RP11-2006	Equitrans	Removal of Non-Conforming Agreement with Equitable Gas Company, LLC
RP11-2007	Texas Eastern	Negotiated Rate with Shell Energy North America
RP11-2028	Transco	Revised Tariff Record to Revise Rate Schedule WSS-Open Access (WSS-OA) to Reflect New Withdrawal Ratchets
RP11-2041	Equitrans	Pro Forma Service Agreement for Firm Storage Service Under Rate Schedule 115SS and 60SS
RP11-2074	Transco	Adjustment to Reservation Rate Surcharge Applicable to Maiden Lateral Expansion Project
RP11-2082	Texas Eastern	Negotiated Rate Transaction between Texas Eastern and Duke Vermillion

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
RP11-2108	Equitrans	Revised Tariff Record To Comply with Order No. 587-U
RP11-2128	Equitrans	Modification of Tariff to Remove References that Relate Solely to the Big Sandy Pipeline
RP11-2139	Transco	Revision of Tariff to Correct References, Update Gathering Points and Correct Grammatical Error
RP11-2151	Texas Eastern	Modification of Cash-Out Provision in Tariff
RP11-2210	Transco	Request for Waiver of 30-Day Notice Requirement
RP11-2217	Dominion	Modification of Tariff to Reflect Non-Conforming Service Agreements
RP11-2234	Texas Eastern	Electric Power Cost Adjustment
RP11-2239	Equitrans	Revision of Negotiated Rate Service Agreement with EQT Energy LLC
RP11-2259	Transco	Amendments to Previously Filed Service Agreements
RP11-2265	Dominion	Report of Overrun/Penalty Revenue Distribution
RP11-2266	Dominion	Revised Tariff Record to Reflect Negotiated Rate Filing
RP11-2282	Dominion	Update Listing of Gathering Lines
RP11-2303	Equitrans	Negotiated Rate Service Agreement with Northeast Natural Energy, LLC
RP11-2304	Texas Eastern	Rates for Transportation Service to be provided on the Marietta Extension
RP11-2305	Transco	Transportation Rate Changes – X-28
RP11-2363	Texas Eastern	Shared Contractual Segment Entitlements



<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
RP11-2371	Transco	Operational Balancing Agreements
RP11-2382	Transco	Credit Requirements Related to Expansion Project Costs
RP11-2388	Dominion	Negotiated Rates – UGI Energy Services
RP11-2400	Transco	Penalty Sharing Revenue – Rate Schedules GSS and LGS
RP11-2401	Equitrans	Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing
RP11-2432	Transco	Negotiated Rates – Pascagoula Expansion Project
RP11-2521	Dominion	Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing
RP11-2455	Transco	Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing
RP11-2470	Transco	Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – Angola LNG Supply Services, LLC
RP11-2471	Transco	Non-Conforming Service Agreements – Pascagoula Expansion Project
RP11-2506	Texas Eastern	Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – ConocoPhillips Co.
RP11-2526	Texas Eastern	Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing
RP11-2543	Texas Eastern	Operational Segment Capacity Entitlements
RP11-2577	Dominion	Abandonment – Rate Schedules X-71 and X-72
RP11-2605	Transco	Reservation Credits - ESS and EESWS Rate Schedules
RP11-2609	Transco	Non-Conforming Service Agreements – PPL Energy Plus, LLC

<b><u>Docket Number</u></b>	<b><u>Pipeline</u></b>	<b><u>Proceeding</u></b>
RP11-2619	Transco	Annual Cash-Out Report
RP11-2625	Transco	Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing
RP11-2626	Transco	Fuel Retention Percentages
RP11-2650	Dominion	Electric Power Cost Adjustment (EPCA) Filing
RP11-2651	Dominion	Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment (TCRA) Filing
RP12-18	Texas Eastern	Non-Conforming Service Agreements – Patriots Energy Group
RP12-33	Texas Eastern	Negotiated Rate Service Agreements – TEMAX Expansion Project
RP12-38	Texas Eastern	PCB-Related Cost Filing
RP12-59	Dominion	Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – Statoil Natural Gas LLC
RP12-89	Texas Eastern	Applicable Shrinkage Adjustment (ASA) Percentages Filing
RP12-91	Transco	Penalty Revenue Sharing Report
RP12-94	Transco	Rate Schedules GSS and LSS Refund
RP12-110	Transco	Negotiated Rate Service Agreements – South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
RP12-119	Equitrans	Revision to Rate Schedule ITS Form of Service Agreement
RP12-124	Equitrans	Operational Gas Purchases and Sales
RP12-153	Texas Eastern	Statement of Negotiated Rates

**Docket  
Number**

**Pipeline**

**Proceeding**

RP12-156

Texas Eastern

Negotiated Rate FT-1 Service Agreement

RP12-174

Transco

Rate Schedule X-28 Changes

RP12-213

Equitrans

Operational Flow Order

**Docket No. CP11-20**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Abandonment of Offshore Supply Lateral**

**DATE FILED:** November 2, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) proposes to abandon in place an inactive offshore supply lateral and related metering and regulating facilities referred to as the Supply Lateral. The Supply Lateral is approximately 23.5-miles of 12-inch diameter pipeline located in offshore Texas extending from Matagorda Island Block 639 to Brazos Block A-133. The Supply Lateral has not flowed gas for several years; therefore, no customers have received service through the Supply Lateral in years. The proposed abandonment would have no impact on the daily design capacity of, or operating conditions on, Transco's pipeline system, nor would the abandonment have any adverse impact on Transco's existing customers.

Transco states that the Supply Lateral, would be cut, capped, pigged, and filled with sea water. Transco also states that proposed abandonment of the Supply Lateral would not involve the physical removal of any facilities.

On August 4, 2010, Transco submitted its request to the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement (BOEMRE) for approval to decommission the Supply Lateral. BOEMRE approved Transco's request on September 16, 2010.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-42**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Refunctionalization of Line T-404**

**DATE FILED:** November 23, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 23, 2010, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (Dominion) an abbreviated application pursuant to Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, for an order authorizing the refunctionalization of its existing Line No. TL-404 facilities from a transmission function to a gathering function. The facilities are located in Wetzel and Marshall Counties, West Virginia and Monroe County, Ohio.

For the reasons discussed below, the requested authorization is required by the public convenience and necessity because it clarifies the jurisdictional status of the facilities at issue. Therefore, the requested refunctionalization is approved. The issue of abandonment authority under Section 7(b) of the NGA is not addressed at this time because Dominion is not seeking to transfer any of the facilities to another entity.

**Background/Proposal**

Dominion is an interstate gas transmission business unit of Dominion Resources, Inc., a large producer and transporter of energy. Dominion is an open-access pipeline operating under the Commission's regulations and a Commission-approved FERC Gas Tariff. Dominion is primarily engaged in the business of storing and transporting natural gas in interstate commerce for customers principally in New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia. Dominion also provides gathering services which are exempt from the Commission's jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the NGA.

Dominion states Line No. Line No. TL-404, an approximately 26-mile, 24- and 30-inch pipeline with a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 720 pounds per square inch gauge (psig), extends from the tailgate of Dominion's Hastings Extraction Plant (Hastings) in Wetzel County, West Virginia to its terminus in Monroe County, Ohio, interconnecting with the local distribution company, Dominion East Ohio. It is currently functionalized as transmission and used to transport pipeline quality, dry gas away from Hastings to Dominion Hope.

Upon refunctionalization of TL-404, Dominion will receive high British thermal unit (Btu), or wet, gas at new gathering taps in production areas in Ohio and West Virginia for delivery to and processing at Hastings. The Monroe County end of Line No. TL-404 will be disconnected and closed off from Dominion East Ohio for the delivery of gas at that point. Once processed, the wet gas will be delivered into Dominion's dry gas transmission system via other lines which take-away from Hastings.

The refunctionalization of Line No. TL-404 will not result in a change in service to Dominion's existing transportation customers. Currently, Dominion has one pending gathering tap request on TL-404, and is currently in discussions with several area producers for other gathering receipt taps on TL-404. Dominion is in the process of constructing the necessary facilities to serve the customers who currently receive gas from TL-404 so that their source of supply is from Dominion's existing dry transmission Line No. TL-377, which runs parallel to TL-404.

Except as noted below, Dominion does not propose to abandon of any services in connection with the refunctionalization requested herein, nor will any current customers receiving service from Line No. TL-404 be adversely affected. All firm deliveries from Line No. TL-404 will be or will have been relocated to other facilities, including Dominion's existing transmission Line No. TL-377, which parallels Line No. TL-404. Any necessary interconnecting facilities pipeline relocations will be constructed in 2011 under Dominion's Part 157 blanket certificate authorization in advance of the refunctionalization and will be included Dominion's in blanket certificate annual report.

Currently, Dominion serves two retail consumers of Hope Gas, Inc. (d/b/a Dominion Hope) through existing farm taps off of Line No. TL-404. Dominion is currently negotiating service alternatives for these farm tap locations. One alternative available is to source the supply to these retail consumers from another Dominion pipeline, where any necessary construction would be pursuant to Dominion's blanket certificate authorization. Dominion is also considering abandoning service to one of Dominion Hope's farm tap customers (with the consent of Dominion Hope and such affected customer), who will then receive service from Mountaineer Gas Company, a West Virginia local gas distribution company. Dominion states that any abandonment of this farm tap delivery point will meet the requirements of Section 157.216 of the Commission regulations.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 29, 2010 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-43**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Abandonment By Transfer Of The Big Sandy Pipeline**

**DATE FILED:** December 3, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 3, 2010, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) and Big Sandy Pipeline, LLC (Big Sandy) filed a joint application (the Applicants) under sections 7(b) and (c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Parts 157 and 284 of the Commission's regulations seeking: 1) authorization for Equitrans to abandon by transfer to Big Sandy the Big Sandy Pipeline facilities located in Floyd, Johnson, Carter, and Lawrence Counties, Kentucky, authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP06-275-000; 2) a certificate of public convenience necessity allowing Big Sandy to acquire from Equitrans and operate the Big Sandy Pipeline; 3) a blanket transportation certificate under Subpart G of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations permitting Big Sandy to provide open access transportation services under the same rates, terms, and conditions applicable to service on the Big Sandy Pipeline facilities provided for under Equitrans' effective FERC Gas Tariff; and 4) for a blanket construction certificate under Subpart F of Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations permitting Big Sandy to engage in certain construction and abandonment activities, under section 7 of the NGA. For the reasons discussed below, we will approve the applicants' proposals subject to the conditions of this order.

**Background/Proposal**

Equitrans is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Equitrans, a natural gas company within the meaning of NGA Section 2(6), is engaged in the business of gathering, storing, and transporting natural gas in interstate commerce subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. Equitrans provides open-access transportation service under its Part 284 Subpart G blanket transportation certificate pursuant to the rates, terms, and conditions set forth in its FERC Tariff.

Big Sandy is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and is wholly owned by Equitrans. Big Sandy was formed for the purpose of acquiring, owning, and operating the Big Sandy Pipeline.

On November 15, 2006, the Commission issued an order to Equitrans granting it a certificate to construct and operate the Big Sandy Pipeline. Specifically, the Big Sandy Pipeline consists of: (i) 69.9 miles of 20-inch diameter natural gas pipeline located in Carter, Floyd, Johnson, and Lawrence Counties, Kentucky; (ii) three 3,000 horsepower electrically-driven compressor units at the outlet of the existing Kentucky Hydrocarbon gas processing facility in Langley, Kentucky; (iii) a meter station and pig launcher at the beginning of the pipeline; and (iv) pressure regulation facilities and a pig receiver at the

terminus of the pipeline near Carter County. The Big Sandy Pipeline receives natural gas at the outlet of the Kentucky Hydrocarbon gas processing facility in eastern Kentucky and transports the gas to an interconnection with the Broad Run Lateral of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) located in Carter County, Kentucky. The Big Sandy Pipeline operates at a certificated firm capacity of 130,000 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) and a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 1,200 psig. Additionally, Equitrans states that it has maintained separate accounts, books, and records for the Big Sandy Pipeline and that it is physically and operationally separate and distinct from its other natural gas storage, transportation, and gathering assets (the mainline system) which are located in Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

By this application, Equitrans proposes to abandon the Big Sandy Pipeline by sale to its subsidiary, Big Sandy, by means of a contribution of capital. Equitrans states that it has owned 100% of the membership interest in Big Sandy since its formation. Thus, Equitrans asserts that abandonment by sale of facilities will help formalize the separation of the already independently functioning Big Sandy Pipeline from its mainline system and will assist Equitrans and Big Sandy in administering and providing service on their respective systems. Following the transfer, the applicants state that the Big Sandy will perform the same NGA jurisdictional transportation function under the ownership and operation of Big Sandy as is currently provided by Equitrans. Equitrans states that it will continue to conduct the day-to-day operations of the Big Sandy Pipeline pursuant to the Operation and Management Services Agreement identified in Exhibit M of the application. Furthermore, the applicants state that their will be no effect upon the rates paid by shippers as a result of the transfer because the Operation and Management Services Agreement does not impose any additional fees or charges to be paid in connection with the provision of the related services.

As set forth more fully below, Big Sandy proposes to charge the same rates for transportation services, as approved by the Commission in CP06-275-000, on the Big Sandy Pipeline as Equitrans currently provides under Equitrans' incremental recourse rates and the negotiated rate agreements in effect between Equitrans and current shippers. Prior to the commencement of service on the Big Sandy Pipeline under Big Sandy's Tariff, Big Sandy states that it will file the revised negotiated rate service agreements with the Commission reflecting the change in ownership of the Big Sandy Pipeline. Thus Big Sandy asserts that the shippers on Equitrans' mainline system will be unaffected by the proposed transfer. Upon approval of abandonment of the Big Sandy Pipeline, Equitrans state that it will file to amend and remove the sections of its Tariff that apply only to service on the Big Sandy Pipeline.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 4, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. CP11-45**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Abandonment of Offshore Supply Lateral**

**DATE FILED:** December 6, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 6, 2010, Transco submitted for filing an application to partially abandon storage deliverability at the Washington Storage Field located in St. Landry Parish, Louisiana. Transco states that subsequent to Commission approval of the application it intends to execute amendments to the applicable service agreements to reflect the resulting revised Storage Demand Quantities proposed in its application.

The well deliverability at the Washington Storage Field has declined to the point that currently when the field is at maximum capacity, the maximum daily deliverability is 96,133 Dt below the total certificated and contracted Storage Demand Quantity of 913,237 Dt per day, approximately a 10.5% shortfall. Over the years, four of the forty-four wells developed for the operation of the field have ceased to function. Many of the remaining forty wells in service have lost flow capacity due to damage in the reservoir rock caused by fluid invasions, organic residues, loose sand and salt precipitations. Transco has developed these estimates of field deliverability and its declines by analyzing individual well flow potential tests and field performance data.

Transco has discussed this reduction in delivery capability at the Washington Storage Field extensively with its WSS-OA customers. Transco provided the WSS-OA customers with information about the deliverability shortfall, reviewed cost and rate data and solicited input regarding the WSS-OA customers' desire to have Transco spend the necessary capital to restore the decline in deliverability. Transco offered the WSS-OA customers the option to have the deliverability restored, and pay a higher rate under Rate Schedule WSSOA to recover the associated costs, or to have Transco seek the necessary authorizations to reduce the certificated maximum daily deliverability at the Washington Storage Field. After further individual discussions with many of the WSS-OA customers, all of the WSS-OA customers informed Transco that they did not want Transco to spend the necessary capital to restore the deliverability at the Washington Storage Field. Consequently, Transco is seeking the authorizations necessary to reduce the certificated and contractual Storage Demand Quantity under Rate Schedule WSS-OA.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-53**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP & Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Backhaul Entitlements on Jointly Owned Facilities**

**DATE FILED:** December 14, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 16, 2010, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) and Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations to amend the certificates of public convenience and necessity issued on June 7, 1989, to reflect backhaul entitlements on facilities operated by Texas Eastern and jointly owned by Texas Eastern and DTI as tenants in common. Texas Eastern and DTI requests the Commission authorize the proposed amendment to become effective on or before March 14, 2011. This order grants the requested authorization, as discussed below.

Texas Eastern and DTI's amendment allows them to provide backhaul service by displacement on the jointly-owned facilities. The backhaul rights permits Texas Eastern to deliver in aggregate up to its maximum daily receipt quantities, 1,561,751 Dth, from Texas Eastern's point of delivery in Franklin County, Pennsylvania for redelivery upstream to its points of receipt in Greene and Fayette Counties, Pennsylvania (Crayne Farm and Uniontown, Pennsylvania, respectively). The backhaul rights also permits DTI to deliver in the aggregate up to its MDRQ, 430,500 Dth, from DTI's points of delivery in Franklin County, Pennsylvania, the interconnections with DTI's PL-1 line at the Chambersburg Station No. 23 and Measuring Station 73061, for redelivery upstream to its points of receipt in Greene and Fayette Counties, Pennsylvania (Crayne Farm and Uniontown, Pennsylvania, respectively). Since the pipeline accomplishes these backhauls by displacement, backhaul rights are contingent upon the determination by the operator, Texas Eastern, that the joint facilities can accommodate such deliveries. In addition, the amended certificate limits Texas Eastern and DTI to aggregate volumes at the points of receipt and delivery equivalent to their respective MDRQs and maximum daily delivery quantities.

The application states the backhaul rights will allow Texas Eastern and DTI to increase supply options for their respective customers. In addition, the backhaul rights will allow the delivery of excess supply from Dominion Cove Point LNG, LP's LNG import facilities (Cove Point) on the joint facilities for the benefit of DTI's customers. Similarly, Texas Eastern can also offer backhaul capacity allowing its customers to access the supply from Cove Point. Texas Eastern and DTI contend the market will benefit from increased supply diversity and reliability, and price competition.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 25, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-56**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP & Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC**  
**New Jersey-New York Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** December 20, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 20, 2010, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP ("Tetco") and Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC ("Algonquin") jointly submitted for filing an application for the proposed New Jersey-New York Expansion Project ("NJ-NY Project"). Specifically, Tetco and Algonquin request: (i) authorization for Tetco to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain 4.84 miles of 42-inch pipeline, 15.5 miles of 30-inch pipelines, four new meter and regulating stations, and appurtenances; (ii) authorization for Tetco to lease from Algonquin 730,000 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of firm capacity rights, plus applicable shrinkage requirements on Tetco's system, on Algonquin's mainline; (iii) authorization for Algonquin to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain certain proposed facilities and to lease capacity to Tetco; (iv) authorization for Tetco to abandon in place eight miles of 20- and 24-inch pipeline; (v) authority to charge an incremental initial recourse rate, incremental access charges and incremental fuel, as applicable for the NJ-NY Project; and (vi) any waivers, authority, and further relief as may be necessary to implement the proposed facilities.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-65**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Replacement of Pipeline Facilities**

**DATE FILED:** January 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 21, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing a Prior Notice Request for authorization to replace certain pipeline facilities located in Tompkins, Cortland, Madison, Oneida, Herkimer, and Schenectady Counties, New York.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 4, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-67**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Pipeline Construction– TEAM 2012 Project**

**DATE FILED:** January 25, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 25, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) filed an application, as amended, under sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) seeking authorization to abandon, construct, and operate certain facilities on its mainline in Pennsylvania (TEAM 2012 Project). The Commission will grant the requested authorizations, subject to conditions, as discussed below.

**Background and Proposal**

Texas Eastern is a natural gas company that transports gas in interstate commerce subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. Texas Eastern's system extends from Texas, Louisiana, and the offshore Gulf of Mexico area, through Mississippi, Arkansas, Missouri, Tennessee, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, to its principal terminus in the New York City metropolitan area.

**Facilities**

Texas Eastern proposes to make pipeline looping and facility modifications on various segments of its mainline system between the Holbrook and Marietta Compressor Stations in Greene and Lancaster Counties, Pennsylvania, respectively. The proposals will provide Texas Eastern with the capacity to transport an additional 200,000 dekatherms (Dth) per day of natural gas from receipt points on Texas Eastern's system in eastern Ohio and western Pennsylvania to delivery points in Texas Eastern's market area, including to the head of the Marietta Extension in York County, Pennsylvania and to the interconnection between Texas Eastern and Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.

Specifically, Texas Eastern proposes to: (1) construct and operate approximately 1.8 miles of 36-inch diameter pipeline loop in Greene County, Pennsylvania; (2) construct and operate approximately 3.23 miles of 36-inch diameter pipeline loop in Fayette County, Pennsylvania (Holbrook East Loop); (3) relocate a proposed pig receiver from approximate milepost (MP) 4.7 to approximate MP 3.23 in order to accommodate the Holbrook East Loop; (4) abandon by removal approximately 7.3 miles of existing 24-inch diameter Line 1 in Franklin County, Pennsylvania and replace the line in the same right-of-way with 36-inch diameter pipeline; (5) abandon by removal approximately 4.0 miles of existing 24-inch diameter Line 1 in Adams County, Pennsylvania and replace the line in the same right-of-way with 36-inch diameter pipeline; and (6) construct or replace other associated appurtenances, as described more fully in Exhibit F-1 of the application.

In addition, at the Bedford Compressor Station in Bedford County, Pennsylvania, Texas Eastern proposes to (1) install one 26,000 horsepower (HP) electric compressor unit and ancillary facilities, including a new station gas cooling facility; (2) uprate two existing 11,000 HP electric compressor units to 14,300 HP each; (3) abandon in place nine existing gas-fired reciprocating compressor units with a total of 11,880 HP; and (4) make certain modifications to ancillary facilities. The proposed modifications to the Bedford Compressor Station will result in a net increase of 20,720 HP, raising the station's total certificated horsepower from 33,880 to 54,600 HP.

Texas Eastern also proposes to increase the design pressure on its mainline between the Bedford and Chambersburg Compressor Stations pursuant to the Special Permit it received from the Department of Transportation's Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA). To allow it to operate at the increased pressure, Texas Eastern states that it intends to replace two segments of pipeline between the Bedford and Chambersburg Compressor Stations and continue its ongoing anomaly investigation and remediation activities to allow it to operate its mainline at the 1,112 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) pressure allowed by the Special Permit.

### **Open Season**

Texas Eastern held a non-binding open season from August 1 through August 29, 2008, and a binding open season from November 17 through December 1, 2009. Texas Eastern held a reverse open season from December 10 through December 31, 2009, which did not result in any turnback of capacity from existing firm shippers. As a result of the open seasons, Texas Eastern executed precedent agreements with Range Resources-Appalachia, LLC (Range), for 150,000 Dth per day of firm transportation services, and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Chesapeake), for 40,000 Dth per day of firm transportation services, with primary terms of 16 years and 15 years, respectively.

Following the filing of its January 25, 2011 application, Texas Eastern states that 20,000 Dth per day of mainline capacity became available due to the expiration of existing firm service agreements. This turnback capacity was posted on Texas Eastern's LINK system website and, on February 7, 2011, Texas Eastern posted a notice reserving the capacity for the TEAM 2012 Project. As a result, in its amended application, Texas Eastern proposes to use the turnback capacity to reduce the scope of the pipeline looping east of its Holbrook Compressor Station and to offer an additional 10,000 Dth per day of incremental firm transportation capacity, increasing the project's capacity to 200,000 Dth per day. Texas Eastern entered into amended precedent agreements, increasing Chesapeake's firm transportation entitlement from 40,000 to 50,000 Dth per day, commencing one year from the in-service date of the project.

### **Rates**

Texas Eastern estimates that the TEAM 2012 Project will cost approximately \$196,998,000. Texas Eastern proposes a \$17.4980 per month per Dth incremental firm

maximum daily reservation recourse rate under its Rate Schedule FT-1 and a \$0.000 per Dth usage charge. Texas Eastern does not seek approval to roll the costs of the project into its existing zone rates but reserves the right to do so in the future as part of a general rate case.

Texas Eastern does not propose to track interruptible service separately on its existing and proposed facilities. Accordingly, Texas Eastern proposes to charge its system interruptible transportation rates for all interruptible throughput on the project facilities.

Texas Eastern proposes to recover incremental fuel use and lost and unaccounted-for fuel (LAUF), as well as incremental electric power costs associated with providing firm service on the project facilities, through incremental Applicable Shrinkage Adjustment (ASA) percentages and incremental Electric Power Cost (EPC) rates. Texas Eastern asserts that it will track changes in fuel and electric power costs for these incremental services on an incremental basis as set forth in its tariff.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 28, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-68**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Sunrise Project Construction**

**DATE FILED:** January 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 27, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and operate its Sunrise Project. Equitrans proposes to: (1) construct and operate approximately 41.5 miles of 24-inch diameter pipeline, 0.21-mile of 20-inch pipeline, and 2.7 miles of 16-inch pipeline parallel to existing Equitrans transmission and gathering pipelines; (2) replace a 2.6 mile section of inactive 16-inch pipeline with new 20-inch pipeline; (3) retest and uprate 4.8 miles of 20-inch pipeline with appropriate overpressure protection facilities; (4) install one new compressor station consisting of three reciprocating units providing approximately 14,205 horsepower; and (5) perform additional activities for aboveground sites for interconnections, mainline block valves, launchers and receivers, control systems, and other facilities.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 3, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. CP11-71**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Pipeline Construction – Prior Notice**

**DATE FILED:** January 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 28, 2011, Dominion filed a Prior Notice Request to construct, own, and operate three sections of a pipeline located in Fairfield County, Ohio.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 15, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-103**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Gulf of Mexico Laterals**

**DATE FILED:** February 23, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 23, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing an application to abandon its obligation to provide service on two laterals located in federal waters in the offshore Gulf of Mexico near Louisiana.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-118**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 41-A-6**

**DATE FILED:** March 2, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern), Post Office Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP11-118-000, an application pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) as amended, to abandon in place an inactive pipeline segment in West Cameron Blocks 566, 565, and 548, offshore Louisiana, under Texas Eastern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-535-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to the public for inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to abandon in place approximately 4.5 miles of 20-inch diameter pipeline (Segment) on its Line 41-A-6 in West Cameron Blocks 566, 565, and 548, offshore Louisiana. The Segment is inactive and no longer contributes to the natural gas volumes moved through Line 41-A-6. Texas Eastern states that its abandonment of the Segment would not result in the termination of any services to any of its customers and that no firm transportation agreements are tied to the Segment. Texas Eastern also states that it would cost approximately \$19,930,000 to replicate the facilities today.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-138**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 41-B-1 & Line 41-B-1-A**

**DATE FILED:** March 15, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On March 15, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application under section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, requesting authorization to abandon in place two inactive supply laterals designated as Line 41-B-1 and Line 41-B-1-A. The abandonment project consists of approximately 16.8 miles of 12-inch diameter pipeline, 11.8 miles of 8-inch diameter pipeline, and associated appurtenances, located in federal waters offshore Louisiana in the Gulf of Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 15, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-216**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 40-B-4-B**

**DATE FILED:** April 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On April 18, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (“Texas Eastern”) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) a 60-day prior notice (“Notice”) pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission’s regulations, 18 C.F.R. §§ 157.205 and 157.216, and blanket certificate authority granted to Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (“Texas Eastern”) in Docket No. CP82-535-000, of its intent to abandon in place approximately 7.1 miles of its 16-inch pipeline designated as Line 40-B-4-B. Line 40-B-4-B, which is currently an inactive supply lateral, extends south from Chandeleur Block 22 to a subsea interconnection with Texas Eastern’s Line 40-B-4 in Main Block 162.

**ACTIVITIES:**

June 27, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-477**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment – Line 40-B-4-C**

**DATE FILED:** May 10, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On May 10, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (“Texas Eastern”) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) a 60-day prior notice (“Notice”) pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission’s regulations, 18 C.F.R. §§ 157.205 and 157.216, and blanket certificate authority granted to Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (“Texas Eastern”) in Docket No. CP82-535-000, of its intent to abandon in place an approximately 5.7-mile inactive segment (“Segment”) of its 12-inch pipeline designated as Line 40-B-4-C (“Supply Lateral”). Texas Eastern installed the approximately 8.6 mile Supply Lateral extending southerly from Main Pass Block 202 to a subsea connection on Texas Eastern’s Line 40-B-4 at Main Pass 164. The Segment to be abandoned extends from milepost (“M.P.”) 0.05 in Main Pass 202 to M.P. 5.76 in Main Pass 178. The remaining portion of Line 40-B-4-C, which extends from M.P. 5.76 in Main Pass 178 to the subsea connection on Texas Eastern’s Line 40-B-4 at M.P. 8.61 in Main Pass 164, will remain in active status.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 15, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-491**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Pipeline Facility Abandonment of South Marsh Island Block**

**DATE FILED:** May 23, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On May 23, 2011 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco), Post Office 1396, Houston, TX 77251, filed in the above Docket, a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Transco's authorization in Docket No. CP82-426-000, for authorization to abandon in place: i) approximately 8.45 mile, 12-inch pipeline extending from South Marsh Island Block 38 to South Marsh Island Block 23, ii) approximately 0.455 mile, 12-inch pipeline extending from production platform B in South Marsh Island Block 33 to South Marsh Island Block 38, iii) and related metering facilities at South Marsh Island Block 33 and appurtenant facilities, collectively referred as the SMI Facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 5, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-493**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Establishment of Storage Pool Protective Boundary**

**DATE FILED:** May 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On May 27, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (Dominion), filed an application in Docket No. CP11-493-000 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to: (1) revise the active storage boundary; and (2) establish a protective boundary for the Woodhull Storage Pool located in Steuben County, New York, all as more fully set forth in the applications which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 1, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. CP11-504**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Authorization To Abandon Compressor Station**

**DATE FILED:** June 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On June 30, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251-1396, filed in Docket No. CP11-504-000, a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA). Transco seeks authorization to abandon its Compressor Station 20 located in Refugio County, Texas. Transco proposes to perform these activities under its blanket certificate originally issued in Docket No. CP82-426-000 [20 FERC ¶ 62,420 (1982)], all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, the facilities at issue are three 1,600 horsepower compressor units, two 1,760 horsepower compressor units, and a compressor building and foundation, located at milepost 170.25 on Transco's Mainline A in Refugio County, Texas. In addition, Transco intends to abandon metering facilities, suction and discharge piping, and other appurtenances.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-506**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Authorization To Increase Compressor Station Power**

**DATE FILED:** July 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

Texas Eastern requests authorization to operate its existing electrically-driven compressor unit (1-E compressor unit) located at the Kosciusko Compressor Station (Kosciusko Station) in Attala County, Mississippi, at an uprated horsepower (hp) from 12,500 hp to 16,875 hp.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) certificated the electric compressor unit in Docket No. CP09-61 and Texas Eastern installed the unit in 2010. The Kosciusko Station originally consisted of 14 reciprocating units. The Project would increase the overall hp at the Kosciusko Station to eliminate operational issues at the station, but would not result in an increase in mainline design capacity.

Texas Eastern is proposing to remove coding in the software that currently limits the Converteam electric motor driving the 1-E compressor unit to 12,500 hp, allowing the motor to reach its full service capacity of 16,876 hp. No physical changes to the motor, compressor, or any other compressor or piping components at the facility would be needed to complete the uprate. The uprate proposed in this Project would enhance the reliability of the Kosciusko Station.

Construction and operation of the proposed Project would not require any additional land. It would be completed entirely within the existing building of the Kosciusko Station without the need for ground disturbance.

The Kosciusko Uprate Project would be completed in compliance with applicable federal regulations and guidelines. No excavation would be required. Texas Eastern is planning to install the proposed software changes and have the Kosciusko Compressor Station in service at the increased certificated design hp level in September 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-508**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Authorization To Construct Philadelphia Lateral**

**DATE FILED:** July 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On July 1, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission (Texas Eastern), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP11-508-000, an application pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, requesting authorization to construct, install, own, and operate natural gas pipeline and associated facilities to enable Texas Eastern to provide up to 27,000 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of firm lateral line transportation service to the Grays Ferry Cogeneration Partnership (Grays Ferry) and Paulsboro Refining Company, LLC (Paulsboro Refining), and to establish initial incremental recourse rates for firm transportation service on the facilities to be constructed, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The proposed project will take place entirely within Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 3, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-524**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Authorization To Abandon Uniontown Compressor Station**

**DATE FILED:** July 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

Texas Eastern proposes to abandon in-place four Ingersoll Ran reciprocating units, along with the associated compressor building and ancillary equipment, located at the Uniontown Compressor Station (Uniontown) in Fayette County, Pennsylvania. The abandonment proposal of the four compressor units is referred to as the Uniontown Abandonment Project or Project. The reciprocating units to be abandoned are rated at 1,100 horsepower (HP) each, totaling 4,400 HP out of the currently available 79,200 HP at the station, decreasing the overall HP to 74,800 HP. However, the Project would not result in termination or reduction in firm service to any existing customers.

The four reciprocating units and related parts would provide spare parts for other similar operational compressor units in the Texas Eastern system. The work necessary to complete Texas Eastern's Uniontown Abandonment Project would consist primarily of cutting and capping existing aboveground piping or removing aboveground valves. No excavation would be required, and all of the work would occur with cleared, previously disturbed areas within Uniontown's boundaries. Completion of the Project would require approximately two weeks. The Project would be completed in compliance with applicable federal and state regulations and guidelines.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-528**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Application to Abandon Storage Service Provided to Greenwood, South Carolina**

**DATE FILED:** August 3, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 3, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed, pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, seeking authority to abandon the LNG storage service provided to the City of Greenwood, South Carolina (Greenwood), under Transco's Rate Schedule LG-A. This order grants the requested abandonment authority, as discussed below.

**Background and Proposal**

Transco is a natural gas company engaged in the transportation and sale of natural gas in interstate commerce by means of its natural gas transmission system extending from Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and the offshore Gulf of Mexico area, through the States of Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey to its termini in the New York City metropolitan area. Transco is a natural gas company as defined by section 2(6) of the NGA and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Commission granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Transco to provide service to Greenwood, and other customers, under Rate LG-A, by an order issued on December 16, 1974 in Docket No. CP75-118-000. The service agreement for Greenwood provides for 886 Mcf/d of withdrawal capacity and total storage capacity of 4,933 Mcf under Rate Schedule LG-A. This service agreement was dated August 20, 1974 and amended on May 15, 1996. Greenwood gave notice to Transco on October 27, 2010 that it desired to terminate its Rate Schedule LG-A service agreement effective October 31, 2011 (the end of the primary term). Pursuant to the terms of Rate Schedule LG-A, return of the gas delivered to Greenwood during the 2010-2011 winter season must occur no later than October 31, 2011.

No abandonment or modification to any facilities is required as a result of this proposed abandonment of service. Further, no service to any of Transco's other customers will be impacted because of the abandonment requested in this proceeding. Also, Transco states that abandonment of Greenwood's service under Rate Schedule LG-A will have no adverse impact on Greenwood, because Greenwood has given notice that it does not desire this storage service beyond October 31, 2011. Finally, Greenwood has complied with the notice provisions of its service agreement and requested that its service under Rate Schedule LG-A terminate October 31, 2011. No rate schedules or tariffs will be affected by the requested abandonment.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 6, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-537**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Application to Abandon East Emporium Measurement and Regulation facility**

**DATE FILED:** August 19, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 19, 2010, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI), with a principal place of business at 701 East Cary Street, Richmond, VA, filed in Docket No. CP11-537-000 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 the Commission's Rules and Regulations for all the necessary authorizations required to abandon by sale the East Emporium Measurement and Regulation facility located in Potter County, Pennsylvania, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 15, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. CP11-538**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Application to Abandon Rate Schedules X-71 and X-72**

**DATE FILED:** August 19, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 19, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (Dominion) filed an application, pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations to request permission to abandon two expiring firm transportation service agreements. Specifically, Dominion seeks to abandon Rate Schedule X-71, effective November 1, 2011, the expiration date of the agreement and to abandon Rate Schedule X-72, effective October 31, 2011, the expiration date of that contract. Permission for abandonment of service provided under the two rate schedules is granted, and the rate schedules are terminated, effective as requested.

Dominion states that the existing Rate Schedule X-71 and X-72 customers were not interested in converting to Part 284 transportation service. Dominion states that it plans to make the capacity generally available at existing Part 284 transportation rates, and will continue to utilize all related facilities to provide open-access transportation services on its systems.

Since the abandonment proposal requires no construction of facilities, the proposal qualifies as a categorical exclusion under section 380.4(a)(29) of the Commission's regulations. Therefore, no environmental assessments are required. Further, Dominion is reminded that it must file tariff records with the Commission to modify any tariff records that the aforementioned abandonment affects.

Public notice of the filing was issued on August 30, 2011, allowing for protests to be filed on or before September 7, 2011. Pursuant to Rule 214 (18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2011)), all timely filed motions to intervene and any unopposed motion to intervene out-of-time filed before the issuance date of this order are granted. Granting late intervention at this stage of the proceeding will not disrupt the proceeding or place additional burdens on existing parties. No protests or adverse comments were filed.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket CP11-540**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Pipeline Facility Addition – Marshall County Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** August 26, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 26, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing a prior notice request for authorization to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain certain pipeline facilities located in Marshall County, West Virginia.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket CP11-551**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Abandonment – Eminence Storage Caverns 1-4**

**DATE FILED:** September 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 29, 2011, Transco filed an application for permission to abandon Eminence Storage Caverns 1-4 and the associated storage deliverability and capacity. As applicable to the concerns of Con Edison and PGW:

1. Transco asserts that this abandonment is necessitated by a force majeure event;
2. Transco states that ESS Customers' top gas inventory balances were not affected by the event and that it will not seek to recover gas losses associated with this event through its General Terms and Conditions Section 38 fuel tracker;
3. Transco proposes to resolve issues associated with costs associated with the abandonment of Caverns 1-4 in its next rate case; and
4. Transco proposes to retain the gain from the sale of its base gas.

**IV.**

As noted above, Con Edison and PGW do not object to Transco's proposed abandonment of Eminence Caverns 1-4. Moreover, Con Edison and PGW are appreciative of Transco's responsiveness to its customers' requests to continue existing storage rights through the winter of 2011-12, its conclusion that top gas inventory balances have not been affected, and its refraining from seeking to reflect gas losses associated with the events leading to its proposed abandonment through its fuel tracker.

Nonetheless, there are two elements of Transco's application of concern. First, throughout its application, Transco characterizes the event leading to its proposed abandonment as a force majeure event. Transco has not justified that characterization. In fact, Con Edison and PGW understand that Transco's investigation of the causes of the event has not been completed. Moreover, Con Edison and PGW understand that this is not the first event affecting the storage capacity of the Eminence storage field and that the prior event, in the early 1970s, ultimately was determined to be caused by the shrinking or collapse of cavern walls, a.k.a. "salt creep." Accordingly, so as not to prejudice the determination of the reservation charge credits to which customers may be entitled in the event Transco becomes incapable of providing service, Con Edison and PGW request the Commission to refrain from referring to this event as a force majeure event until such time, if ever, as Transco establishes that "force majeure" is the correct characterization.

Second, Transco proposes to retain the gain from the sale of its base gas while deferring consideration of the costs associated with the abandonment of Caverns 1-4 to its next rate case. This proposal is inconsistent with long-standing precedent, holding that the allocation of gains from sales of assets requires a balancing "based on who bears the financial burden of [a] particular utility activity." *Equitrans, Inc.*, 75 FERC ¶ 61,203 at p. 61,667 (1996), citing *El Paso Natural Gas Company*, 1 FERC ¶ 61,108 (1977). Here, the "particular utility activity" is the storage service(s) provided from Eminence

Caverns 1-4 and it would be inappropriate to assign all of the benefits of the sales of base gas to Transco, while assigning all of the costs of abandoning Caverns 1-4 to Transco's customers. Accordingly, Con Edison and PGW request the Commission to refrain from determining to whom the gain from base gas sales should be assigned until Transco's next rate case, when, as Transco proposes, the allocation of costs associated with Eminence Caverns 1-4 will be determined.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene and comment to protect its interest in this case

**Docket CP12-13**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Pipeline Facility Addition – Blacksville Compression Project**

**DATE FILED:** November 3, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 3, 2011, Equitrans submitted a prior notice application pursuant to NGA Section 7 and Sections 157.205, 157.208(c), and 157.210 of the Commission's regulations. Equitrans proposes to:

1. Construct a compressor station designed to accommodate up to four 4,735 hp natural gas fired compressor units;
2. Install two reciprocating compressors, each rated at 4,735 hp;
3. Install two suction and two discharge pipelines, each consisting of approximately 200 feet of 16-inch diameter pipe, for a total of 800 feet of new pipeline; and
4. Install related facilities including overpressure protection and a partial pig launcher.

**IV.**

PGW's concerns with the Equitrans application arise from (1) the obvious disconnect between the capacity being constructed and the market for that capacity and (2) the distinct possibility that Equitrans is segmenting projects in order to meet the cost limits of Section 157.208. We will address these issues in turn.

On the one hand, Equitrans has entered into precedent agreements for approximately 50,000 dth/d of firm transportation capacity. Application at 4. On the other hand, Equitrans proposes to install compressors allowing it to provide up to 209,000 dth/d of firm transportation capacity. And, Equitrans proposes to construct a compressor station large enough for four compressors, which presumably would allow it to provide up to 418,000 dth/d of firm transportation capacity. Moreover, Equitrans proposes to install additional facilities at this time, but has not explained whether those facilities are suitable for transportation of an additional 50,000 dth/d, 209,000 dth/d, or 418,000 dth/d of firm transportation capacity.

PGW's concern with the disconnect between the actual market for new Equitrans services and Equitrans' proposed blanket certificate construction is obvious. Attachment C to Equitrans' application estimates that its project will cost approximately \$21.7 million which will give rise to an annual cost of service of approximately \$4-5 million. As a result of the rolled-in rate treatment accorded to blanket certificate construction, this cost would be borne by Equitrans' system customers after its next rate case.

At the same time, Equitrans' application contains no information as to the revenue it will receive from the 50,000 dth/d of capacity it has sold. Thus, there is the distinct possibility that Equitrans' system customers will be required to subsidize this project. Stated another way, it appears that Equitrans is speculating with its customers' money. If Equitrans' projections of an additional 150,000 dth/d of market are accurate, it presumably will profit from the investment of \$21.7 million. If those projections are

inaccurate, Equitrans presumably would be permitted to roll this investment into its cost of service and recover its investment from its other customers.

The problematic nature of this “heads I win, tails you lose” proposal is exacerbated by Equitrans’ proposal to install two compressors, which would allow it to transport four times the volume for which it has precedent agreements. There is not one word of explanation in the application as to why Equitrans is not proposing to install one compressor, which presumably would permit it to expand its capacity by approximately 100,000 dth/d, still double the amount it needs for its market.

The answer to the question as to why Equitrans is proposing to install two compressors at this time may well be found in the word “segmentation.” Equitrans’ Attachment C estimates costs, including contingency, overheads, AFUDC, and installation of \$17,730,340 for the installation of two compressors. This is approximately \$8.9 million per compressor. If Equitrans were to build one compressor now and build three more later (remember that it has sized its compressor station for four compressors) its costs would be approximately \$26.7 million, not including any other facility enhancements required for the incremental volumes. Thus, it is likely that the total cost would exceed the applicable limits for blanket certificate construction.

Since segmentation in order to avoid applicable cost limits is prohibited by Section 157.208, PGW requests the Commission to establish procedures to determine why it is that Equitrans is proposing to (a) construct a compressor station large enough for four compressors, when it needs only one, and (b) install two compressors, when it needs only one.

In the event the Commission does not establish the procedures requested by PGW, PGW requests in the alternative that the Commission require Equitrans to maintain separate books and records for the costs of the second compressor. PGW should be afforded the right, in Equitrans’ next general rate case, to assert that rolling in the costs of this compressor would not give rise to just and reasonable rates. The evidence necessary for such an argument would include a comparison between revenues and costs attributable to the second compressor and the cost evidence obviously would be more accurate if Equitrans has separate books and records for that compressor.

V.

PGW is a transportation customer of Equitrans and has a substantial interest in this proceeding. Without an opportunity for adequate presentation of its position, PGW may be adversely affected by any action taken in this proceeding. The interests of PGW will not be adequately represented by any other party. PGW therefore avers that its intervention is in the public interest and is necessary and appropriate to the administration of the Natural Gas Act, as amended.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 16, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene and protest this filing. PGW requests the Commission to (1) find that Equitrans, L.P.

("Equitrans") has not demonstrated a need to construct all of the facilities contemplated by its prior notice application; and (2) conclude that approval of the application could give rise to a segmentation of projects in violation of the Commission's regulations.

December 22, 2011 - Pursuant to Rules 216 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.216, Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") hereby gives notice of withdrawal of its November 17, 2011 protest in the above-referenced docket. PGW has discussed the issues of concern with Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") and the information provided by Equitrans makes it appropriate for PGW to withdraw its protest. PGW is not withdrawing its motion for leave to intervene in this proceeding.

**Docket CP12-14**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Compression Service Abandonment**

**DATE FILED:** November 3, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 3, 2011, Transco submitted for filing an application to abandon compression service under Transco's Rate Schedule X-122 and transportation and exchange services under Transco's Rate Schedules X-143, X-224, and X-227.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 18, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket CP12-19**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Pipeline Facility Addition – Tioga Area Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** November 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 21, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and operate its Tioga Area Expansion Project ("Project"). The Project consists of 15 miles of new 24-inch diameter pipeline, including a new pig launcher/receiver site at the southern terminus of the new pipeline in Tioga County, Pennsylvania, and other system modifications at the Little Greenlick, Crayne, Boom, Finnefrock, and Lindley Stations in Potter, Greene, Tioga, and Clinton Counties, Pennsylvania, and Steuben County, New York.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 27, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket CP12-20**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Pipeline Facility Addition - Sabinsville to Morrisville Project**

**DATE FILED:** November 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 21, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and operate its Sabinsville to Morrisville Project, which consists of 3.56 miles of new 24-inch diameter pipeline, as well as various piping, valves, and appurtenances at its Sabinsville Station in Tioga County, Pennsylvania.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 27, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case



**Docket CP12-32  
Equitrans, L.P.  
Pipeline Facility – Partial Abandonment/Transfer – Sunrise Project**

**DATE FILED:** December 16, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 16, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (“Equitrans”) submitted for filing an application to: 1) amend the certificate of public convenience and necessity for certain pipeline facilities in Greene County, Pennsylvania and Wetzel County, West Virginia (“Sunrise Facilities”) to permit Equitrans to transfer a passive ownership interest in the facilities that have yet to be constructed; 2) abandon by transfer the Sunrise Facilities that have already been constructed and placed in service; 3) grant Equitrans certificate authority to lease the Sunrise Facilities; and 4) issue pre-granted abandonment and certificate authority to permit Equitrans to terminate the lease and reacquire ownership of the Sunrise Facilities upon the expiration of the term of the lease agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 3, 2012 - Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket CP12-67**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – PSE&G Energy Resources & Trade LLC**

**DATE FILED:** October 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 28, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a negotiated rate transaction between Tetco and PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC for firm transportation service under Rate Schedule FT-1.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket No. RP11-1617**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Non-Conforming Agreement Compliance Filing**

**DATE FILED:** December 16, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 16, 2010, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing a tariff section for First Revised Volume No. 1 to provide an update on its progress re-executing non-conforming service agreements and to eliminate non-confirming service agreements that have either terminated or been replaced.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 28, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1630**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Non-Conforming Agreement Compliance Filing**

**DATE FILED:** December 20, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 20, 2010, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) filed revised tariff records<sup>1</sup> to list two non-conforming Service Agreements in their tariff and to include the two Service Agreements as part of their tariff. The two non-conforming Service Agreements are with Chesapeake Utilities Corporation – Maryland Division and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation - Delaware Division (collectively “Chesapeake”). Texas Eastern requests the Commission permit the tariff records to become effective January 1, 2011.

Texas Eastern states that the non-conforming Service Agreements conform in all respects to the form of service agreement for Rate Schedule FT-1, with the exception that the form evergreen language has been removed to reflect that these agreements have a fixed term.

Texas Eastern states that the capacity subscribed under the two Service Agreements has been reserved for Texas Eastern’s TEAM 2012 Project in accordance with Section 3.11(A)(10) of the General Terms and Conditions of Texas Eastern’s Tariff. Texas Eastern states that it has a target in-service date of November 1, 2012 for the TEAM 2012 Project. The Service Agreements have effective periods from January 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012. Texas Eastern states that the deviations in the Service Agreements do not present a risk of undue discrimination.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 3, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>1</sup> 3.18, Materially Non-Conforming Agreements, 4.0.0, 6., Chesapeake Utilities Corporation - contract 910807, 0.0.0, and 7., Chesapeake Utilities Corporation - contract 910808, 0.0.0 to Texas Eastern Database 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-1632**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Defined Firm Hourly Flow Flexibility at Firm Points of Delivery**

**DATE FILED:** December 20, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 20, 2010, TETCO submitted for filing certain tariff sheets to establish a process for customers to obtain defined firm hourly flow flexibility at firm points of delivery under Rate Schedule FT-1.

In response to customer interest in having firm hourly flow flexibility at Points of Delivery, Texas Eastern is proposing to revise its tariff to establish a process for customers on Texas Eastern to obtain defined, firm hourly flow flexibility at firm points of delivery pursuant to Rate Schedule FT-1. Specifically, subject to certain conditions specified in the proposed Section 2.3 of Rate Schedule FT-1, Texas Eastern is willing to construct facilities sufficient to provide additional firm hourly flexibility for future customers who agree to the appropriate rate or cost reimbursement for such facilities. By incorporating these specific provisions into the FT-1 pro forma service agreement, Texas Eastern will be able to provide the additional firm hourly flow flexibility without having to submit the new service agreements as non-conforming service agreements.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 3, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1669**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Current Unit EPC Changes and Revised EPC Surcharges**

**DATE FILED:** December 30, 2010

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 30, 2010, Tetco submitted for filing tariff sections including revised Current Unit EPC Changes and revised EPC Surcharges, to be effective February 1, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1691**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Changes To Limitations on Maximum Daily Receipt & Daily Delivery Obligations**

**DATE FILED:** January 7, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 7, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to modify Section 14.3(A)(4) of the General Terms and Conditions to change limitations on situations under which the sum of the Customer's Maximum Daily Receipt Obligations or Maximum Daily Delivery Obligations may exceed its Maximum Daily Quantity.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 19, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1695**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Limitations on Levels of Ethane and Heavier Hydrocarbons In Gas**

**DATE FILED:** January 10, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 10, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing a tariff record for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to comply with Texas Eastern Transmission, LP's ("Texas Eastern") settlement in Docket No. RP10-30. Dominion proposes a limitation on the level of ethanes and heavier hydrocarbons in the gas it delivers to Texas Eastern at the Oakford storage complex in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 24, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. RP11-1706**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Modification of Rate Schedule FT/FTNN**

**DATE FILED:** January 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 14, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing tariff records for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to modify its FT Rate Schedule and Exhibit A to the Form of Service Agreement applicable to Rate Schedule FT/FTNN to allow Maximum Daily Transportation Quantity and Maximum Annual Transportation Quantity to change over the term of a service agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1713**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Changes to Forms of Service Agreement for Rate Schedules FT, ESS, and FDLS**

**DATE FILED:** January 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 18, 2011, Transco submitted for filing revised tariff sections for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to add language to the Forms of Service Agreement for use under Rate Schedules FT, ESS, and FDLS to be used when a precedent agreement for a pipeline expansion project provides for additional credit requirements related to project costs that continue in effect during the term of the project shipper's service agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

January 31, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1714**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Payment of Interest on Overcharges and Undercharges**

**DATE FILED:** January 20, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 20, 2011, Transco submitted for filing tariff sections for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to revise provisions of the General Terms & Conditions ("GT&C") related to the payment of interest on overcharges and undercharges and to include a new GT&C Section 39 to address adjustments to prior gas day scheduled quantities and PDAs. The filing also included revisions to Sections 18 and 28 of the GT&C and to Rate Schedule Pooling.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 1, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1717**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Gas Quality and Interchangeability Issues**

**DATE FILED:** January 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 21, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to comply with the Commission's Settlement Order in Docket No. RP10-30-002 concerning specific gas quality and interchangeability issues on the Tetco system.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 2, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1725**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Tracking of Rate Changes in Rate Schedule X-28**

**DATE FILED:** January 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 27, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC ("Transco") submitted for filing, a tariff section for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to track rate changes attributable to storage service purchased from Texas Eastern Transmission, LP under its Rate Schedule X-28, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Rate Schedule S-2.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 8, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1736**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Allocation of Measured Quantities at Non-Pipeline Interconnects and Unauthorized Daily Overruns**

**DATE FILED:** January 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On January 28, 2011, Transco submitted for filing pro forma revisions to Sections 2 and 18 of the General Terms and Conditions for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to address the allocation of measured quantities at non-pipeline interconnects and unauthorized daily overruns. The revisions include new a Swing Service Overtakes option.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1755**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Allocation of Measured Quantities at Non-Pipeline Interconnects and Unauthorized Daily Overruns**

**DATE FILED:** February 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 1, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to comply with the Commission's Settlement Order in Docket No. RP10-30-002 concerning specific gas quality and interchangeability issues on the Tetco system.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1759**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Authorization of Negotiated Rate Storage in Excess of Maximum Tariff Rate**

**DATE FILED:** February 2, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 2, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a request for waiver of Sections 284.8(b)(2) and 284.8(e) of the Commission's regulations to allow a negotiated rate storage service agreement to be permanently released to a prearranged shipper, even though the negotiated rate is in excess of the maximum tariff rate.

**ACTIVITIES:**

February 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. RP11-1786**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Restructured Agreements With Statoil Natural Gas LLC**

**DATE FILED:** February 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 18, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc., (DTI) filed revised tariff records<sup>2</sup> to report two restructured agreements with Statoil Natural Gas LLC (Statoil). One is for service under Rate Schedule FT, and the other for service under Rate Schedule GSS-E.<sup>3</sup> DTI states the agreements may materially deviate from their respective *pro forma* service agreement. DTI requests waiver of the Commission's 30-day notice period and asks that the Commission accept its revised tariff records effective March 1, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 2, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>3</sup> Rate Schedule GSS-E service is an expansion storage service.

**Docket No. RP11-1787**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Redetermination of Fuel Retention Percentages Applicable to Transportation and Storage**

**DATE FILED:** February 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 18, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed revised tariff records,<sup>4</sup> pursuant to section 38 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC NGA Gas Tariff, to redetermine the fuel retention percentages applicable to its transportation and storage rate schedules. The derivation of the revised fuel retention percentages is based on Transco's estimate of gas required for operations (GRO) for the period from April 2011 through March 2012, plus the balance accumulated in the Deferred GRO Account at January 31, 2011.

In addition, on May 7, 2009, the Commission issued its "Order Issuing Certificate" in Docket No. CP08-476-000 (May 7 Order) authorizing Transco's Mobile Bay South Expansion Project (Project).<sup>5</sup> The May 7 Order required Transco (at P 27) to separately maintain its accounts for fuel used by the Project and report the results in its first fuel tracker filing after the Project is in service demonstrating that existing shippers will not be adversely affected by the inclusion of the Project's compression costs in the Zone 4A fuel rate. Transco states that in compliance with that requirement, Appendix B of the instant filing shows a comparison of the fuel used at the newly installed compressor station (Station 85) to the fuel retained from the Project shippers. Transco states that as shown, the quantity of fuel retained from the Project's shippers is greater than the fuel used at Station 85. Therefore, Transco states that existing shippers have not been adversely affected by the inclusion of the Project's compression costs in the Zone 4A fuel rate.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 2, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>4</sup> See the attached Appendix for a listing of the tariff records.

<sup>5</sup> *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.*, 127 FERC ¶ 61,122 (2009).

**Docket No. RP11-1792**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Modification to Form of Service Agreements**

**DATE FILED:** February 23, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On February 23, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed revised tariff records<sup>6</sup> in its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to propose minor changes to its form of service agreements in order to improve its contracting process and to remove non-substantive deviations from its service agreements. DTI requests any waivers necessary to allow the revised tariff records to become effective March 28, 2011.

Specifically, DTI proposes to: (1) add a blank and language to Exhibit A of the FT/FTNN, GSS, and GSS-E Form of Service Agreements to allow the parties to cross-reference a related Precedent Agreement, if applicable, for purposes of specifying that credit requirements applicable to the Service Agreement are set forth in the Precedent Agreement; (2) add language to Exhibit B of the MCS Form of Service Agreement to specify that the primary term of the MCS Service Agreement is the end of the latest "Pay Back Period" on any related Exhibit B; (3) add language to Article III of the GSS and GSS-E Form of Service Agreements to allow the parties to specify a Term of Agreement authorized by the Commission in a related certificate proceeding or other Commission proceeding; (4) add language to Exhibit A to the IT Form of Service Agreement to reflect the unique circumstances relating to customers using DTI's Appalachian Aggregation Points pursuant to General Terms and Conditions section 11E.2.A. of DTI's FERC Gas Tariff; (5) make minor corrections to eliminate the word "corporation" in the opening paragraph of all DTI form of service agreements to allow for entry of different customer entity types; and (6) correct the corresponding firm transportation rate schedule references used in the GSS Form of Service Agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>6</sup> See Appendix.

**Docket No. RP11-1832**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Proposal To Recover Electric Power Costs**

**DATE FILED:** March 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

Transco is proposing increases in its TEP rates to: (a) recover \$32,539,763 of estimated electric power costs for compressor stations and gas coolers during the annual period April 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012, and (b) account for an under recovery of \$6,599,875 reflected in the TEP Deferred Account Balance as of January 31, 2011, based on projected billing determinants for the April 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012 annual period.

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-1848**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Non-Conforming Service Agreement for Stand Energy Corporation**

**DATE FILED:** March 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On March 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed revised and new tariff records<sup>7</sup> to report a non-conforming service agreement with Stand Energy Corporation (Stand). Equitrans's service agreement with Stand (Contract Number EQTR11372-553) is for firm storage service under Equitrans's Rate Schedule 115SS<sup>8</sup> with a primary term through March 31, 2012. The service agreement is a maximum rate agreement with a Total Annual Storage Quantity (TASQ) of 124,000 Dth.

Equitrans states that the service agreement contains a non-conforming provision that provides for a shortened written notice to terminate the storage contract. Specifically, section 6 of the form of service agreement is a fill-in-the-blank section allowing for 3 choices as to the expiration of the primary term of the contract. The third choice provides for the contract to continue year to year subject to termination upon "twelve" months prior written notice. The non-conforming provision of the contract modifies this third choice by changing the written termination notice period to two months, versus the twelve months in the *pro forma* contract.

Equitrans states that because the volumes associated with this contract are small – 124,000 Dth, which is less than 1 percent of Equitrans's contracted firm storage space – the twelve month termination notice is not essential to allow Equitrans to re-market the capacity. Therefore, Equitrans agreed to reduce the termination notice period from twelve months to two months. Equitrans further states that a shortened termination notice would be considered for any future shipper requesting firm storage service containing a "minimal" TASQ compared to Equitrans's contracted firm storage space.<sup>9</sup>

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>7</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>8</sup> Rate Schedule 115SS is a 115 day Firm Storage Service with Storage Injections beginning April 1 through October 31 and Storage Withdrawals beginning November 1 through March 31. Customer's daily withdrawals are calculated as 1.1/115<sup>th</sup> of the customer's Total Annual Storage Quantity (TASQ).

<sup>9</sup> Equitrans Transmittal Letter at 2.

**Docket No. RP11-1859**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Modification to Pipeline Safety Cost Tracker Surcharge**

**DATE FILED:** March 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On March 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) submitted, pursuant to section 6.38 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its tariff, revised tariff record sections<sup>10</sup> to modify its Pipeline Safety Cost Tracker (PSCT) surcharge. The filing proposes to recover costs incurred under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 (PSIA) for calendar year 2011. Equitrans proposes an April 1, 2011 effective date.

Equitrans states that the adjustment in its PSCT surcharge is authorized by section 6.38 of the GT&C of its tariff.<sup>11</sup> Equitrans states that, in accordance with section 6.38.2, it is proposing to change its PSCT surcharge to \$0.1476 per dekatherm (Dth), which is a decrease from Equitrans' currently effective PSCT surcharge of \$0.1528 per Dth.

Section 6.38.1 provides that the "Qualifying Costs" recoverable through the PSCT surcharge shall include (1) the return, taxes and depreciation expense associated with invested capital and (2) the actual operating and maintenance (O&M) expenses incurred by Equitrans. To calculate the PSCT surcharge, Equitrans states that it has several procedures in place to calculate pipeline safety expenditures to assure proper determination of all qualifying costs and whether these qualifying costs are either capitalized or treated as operation and maintenance (O&M) expenses. Equitrans explains that prior to starting any pipeline safety related projects a specific construction order account (CO) is created for which all capital expenditures specific to that pipeline safety project can be coded to by the Project Manager. Equitrans asserts that this provides a straight forward process to charging pipeline safety capital expenditures.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

**ACTIVITIES:**

March 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>10</sup> Section 4.1, Transportation Rates NOFT & FTS, 4.0.0 and Section 4.2, Transportation Rates ITS, STS-1, AGS & Products Extraction, 4.0.0 to Equitrans Tariff, FERC NGA Gas Tariff (March 1, 2011 Filing).

<sup>11</sup> This tariff provision was approved by the Commission in Docket No. RP05-164-000, *et al.* See *Equitrans, L.P.*, 115 FERC ¶ 61,007 (2006).

**Docket No. RP11-1961**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Rate Schedule FTS Service Agreement with Mountain V Oil and Gas**

**DATE FILED:** April 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) submitted two negotiated rate service agreements along with revised tariff sections<sup>12</sup> to add to Original Volume No. 1-A Tariff. One negotiated rate agreement is a revision to a previously filed negotiated Rate Schedule FTS service agreement executed with Mountain V Oil and Gas (Mountain), and the other is a new negotiated rate agreement executed with Hayden Harper Energy KA, LLC (HHEK). Equitrans requests waiver of the Commission's notice regulations to allow the proposed tariff sections to become effective April 1, 2011.

Contract No. EQTR10400-517 with Mountain provides for a Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ) of 2,150 Dth/d, and has a term beginning April 1, 2011, and extending through September 30, 2020. Contract No. EQTR11673-596 with HHEK provides for a MDQ of 350 Dth/d and has a term beginning April 1, 2011, and extending through September 30, 2020.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 13, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>12</sup> See Appendix for list of Sections.

**Docket No. RP11-1969**

**Equitrans, L.P.**

**Modification of Standards Governing Index-Based Capacity Release and Flexible Delivery and Receipt Points**

**DATE FILED:** April 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed revised tariff records<sup>13</sup> to comply with Order No. 587-U.<sup>14</sup> In Order No. 587-U, the Commission incorporated by reference into its regulations Version 1.9 of the North American Energy Standards Board Wholesale Gas Quadrant's standards. The Version 1.9 standards include, among other things, new and modified standards governing Index-Based Capacity Release and Flexible Delivery and Receipt Points, as well as standards adopted in response to Order Nos. 698, 712, 717, and 682.<sup>15</sup> Equitrans' tariff records referenced in the appendix comply with Order No. 587-U and are accepted effective June 1, 2011, subject to Equitrans filing to update the tariff records to reflect changes as reflected in Docket No. RP11-1867-001.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> See attached Appendix for a listing of the tariff records.

<sup>14</sup> *Standards for Business Practices for Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines*, Order No. 587-U, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,307 (2010) (Order No. 587-U).

<sup>15</sup> *Standards for Business Practices for Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines*, Order No. 698, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,251 (2007), *order on clarification and reh'g*, Order No. 698-A, 121 FERC ¶ 61,264 (2007); *Promotion of a More Efficient Capacity Release Market*, Order No. 712, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,271 (2008), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 712-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,284 (2008), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 712-B, 127 FERC ¶ 61,051 (2009); *Standards of Conduct for Transmission Providers*, Order No. 717, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,280 (2008), *order on reh'g and clarification*, Order No. 717-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,297 (2009), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 717-B, 129 FERC ¶ 61,123 (2009); *Revision of Regulations to Require Reporting of Damage to Natural Gas Pipelines Facilities*, Order No. 682, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,227 (2006), *order denying reh'g*, Order No. 682-A, 118 FERC ¶ 61,188 (2007).

<sup>16</sup> On April 15, 2011, Equitrans submitted a compliance filing in Docket No. RP11-1867-001, revising section 6.8, Version 1.1.0 of its tariff. On April 29, 2011, the Commission issued a delegated letter order accepting the tariff records in Docket No. RP11-1867-001. The changes reflected in that compliance filing are not reflected in the instant filing. However, on April 20, 2011, Equitrans submitted a notification that it will submit a compliance filing in the instant proceeding to update the tariff records to reflect the changes required in Docket No. RP11-1867-001.



On June 30, 2010, Equitrans submitted a compliance filing in Docket No. RP10-904-000 to substantially implement Version 1.8 of the NAESB Standards, effective July 1, 2010. As detailed in that compliance filing, Equitrans has implemented the vast majority of the Version 1.8 Standards. However, after the development and deployment of the software patches, Equitrans discovered that a number of items within five standards (1.4.1 – Nominations; 1.4.5 – Scheduled Quantities; 2.4.1 – PDA; 2.4.3 – Allocation; and 2.4.4 – Shipper Imbalance) are not fully compliant with the NAESB label and code value standards. As detailed in the RP10-904-000 filing, these outstanding non-compliant items generally relate to missing labels or missing code values and do not affect the rights of any shipper and do not affect the ability of any shipper to access either transportation services or information about transportation services. Equitrans states that its proposed tariff record 6.35 is the same as the tariff sheet the Commission approved in Docket No. RP09-687-000,<sup>17</sup> updated to reflect the changes required by both the Version 1.8 and Version 1.9 standards, except that Equitrans has indicated with an asterisk “\*” the Standards that have not been fully implemented. Equitrans asserts that once all the outstanding items are corrected, Equitrans will file an updated section 6.35 to remove the asterisks.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 13, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>17</sup> On June 25, 2009 the Commission issued a delegated letter order in Docket No. RP09-687-000. The Commission accepted Equitrans' compliance filing on August 5, 2009, in Docket No. RP09-687-001. The Commission issued notices extending the time to implement NAESB Version 1.8 and postponing the effective date of the tariff sheets on July 31, 2009, January 6, 2010, and March 9, 2010. The affected tariff sheets are identified as Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet No. 308 and Fifth Revised Sheet No. 309 of Equitrans' previous FERC Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-1984**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Method of Resolving Imbalances Created as a Result of Prior Period Adjustments**

**DATE FILED:** April 8, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 8, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed revised tariff records<sup>18</sup> to revise section 25.2 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its tariff. Specifically, Transco proposes to modify the method of resolving imbalances created as a result of Prior Period Adjustments made in the current month that are applied to the month of gas flow. Transco's proposed modification incorporates the language of NAESB Version 1.9 Standard 2.3.31. Therefore, Transco also proposes herein to update section 35 of the GT&C to remove the adoption by reference of Standard 2.3.31. Transco requests a July 1, 2011, effective date but requests that the Commission act on this filing by May 8, 2011, so that Transco has sufficient time to make the necessary modifications to its ILine system, including coding and testing, prior to the proposed July 1, 2011 effective date.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 20, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>18</sup> Section 25, Monthly Imbalance Resolution, 3.0.0; and Section 35, Standards for Business Practices, 3.0.0 to Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-1994**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Removal of Rate Schedule SS-1 Open Access Storage Service from FERC Tariff**

**DATE FILED:** April 13, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 13, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed revised tariff records<sup>19</sup> to remove its Rate Schedule SS-1 Open Access storage service from its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1. Transco requests waiver of the Commission's 30-day notice requirement to allow the revised tariff records to become effective April 1, 2011.

Specifically, Transco provided Rate Schedule SS-1 Open Access storage service to its customers using firm storage service purchased by Transco from UGI Central Penn Gas, Inc. (CPG) under an agreement dated January 23, 1987, as amended. On September 24, 2010, CPG notified Transco of its intent to terminate this service effective March 31, 2011. In accordance with its pregranted abandonment, authorization under Section 284.224(d) of the Commission regulations Transco makes this filing to reflect the removal from its tariff Rate Schedule SS-1 Open Access storage service, the associated Form of Service Agreement, and all references to that service.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 25, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>19</sup> See Appendix.

**Docket No. RP11-1995**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Abandonment of Storage Service to Atlanta Gas Light Company**

**DATE FILED:** April 13, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 13, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed revised tariff records<sup>20</sup> to comply with the Commission's order approving abandonment of natural gas storage service and related firm transportation service provided to Atlanta Gas Light Company under Rate Schedule SS-1 section 7(c) Storage service and Rate Schedule SS-1 section 7(c) Transportation Service, issued on March 28, 2011, in Docket No. CP11-4-000.<sup>21</sup> Transco requests waiver of the Commission's 30-day notice requirement to allow the revised tariff records to become effective April 1, 2011.

The March 28 Order granted abandonment authority to eliminate Transco's obligation to continue its present services to Atlanta Gas after March 31, 2011, and directed Transco to file under section 4 of the NGA to cancel the applicable rate schedules. In compliance with the March 28 Order, Transco is submitting tariff records to remove from Transco's tariff: Rate Schedule SS-1 section 7(c) Storage Service and Rate Schedule SS-1 section 7(c) Transportation Service, the associated Form of Service Agreements and all references to those services.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 25, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>20</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>21</sup> *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co, LLC*, 134 FERC ¶ 61,238 (2011) (March 28 Order).

**Docket No. RP11-2005**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Revision and Addition to Tariff General Terms & Conditions**

**DATE FILED:** April 14, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 14, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed revised tariff records<sup>22</sup> to refine, update and clarify the allocation procedures set forth in section 18 of its General Terms and Conditions (GT&C), to add two new defined terms to section 2 of the GT&C and to make other conforming changes.

Transco proposes to revise its tariff to more specifically set forth procedures applicable to particular receipt and delivery locations. Transco also proposes to add two new defined terms, production facility and stub line, to identify the locations to which specific procedures apply. In addition, Transco proposes to revise its tariff to address gas quantities taken from its system at production facilities and pipeline interconnects when no delivery transactions have been scheduled and confirmed and there is no Operational Balancing Agreement in effect at the location; and to set forth the penalties that apply to such unauthorized takes.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>22</sup> Section 1, Table of Contents, 4.0.0; Section 2, Definitions, 1.0.0; Section 18, Deliveries and Receipts, Overruns and Penalties, 3.0.0; and Section 54, Penalty Revenue Sharing, 1.00 to Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-2006**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Removal of Non-Conforming Agreement with Equitable Gas Company, LLC**

**DATE FILED:** April 15, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On June 9, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed tariff records<sup>23</sup> to revise its list of non-conforming agreements to remove an agreement (Contract No. EQTR11630-585) with Equitable Gas Company, LLC (EGC). The instant filing was made in accordance with the Commission's May 13, 2011 Order in Docket Nos. RP11-2006-000, *et al.*<sup>24</sup> The May 13 Order accepted the tariff records submitted in that proceeding and approved tariff revisions allowing for different seasonal MDQs. The Commission noted that as a result of the approval of the tariff revisions, the contract with EGC was no longer non-conforming, and Equitrans was instructed to revise its list of non-conforming agreements to remove this agreement. The instant filing complies with the directive of the Commission's May 13 Order. Equitable requests the revised tariff records become effective on July 9, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 27, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>23</sup> Section 6.42, Non-Conforming Agreements, 7.0.0; and Section 2.2, Reserved for Future Use, 1.0.0 to Equitrans Tariff, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>24</sup> *Equitrans, L.P.*, 135 FERC ¶ 61,130 (2011) (May 13 Order).

**Docket No. RP11-2007**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Negotiated Rate with Shell Energy North America**

**DATE FILED:** April 15, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 15, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) submitted as part of its FERC NGA Gas Tariff, Eighth Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff section<sup>25</sup> listed in Footnote No. 1, reflecting a negotiated rate transaction that it has entered into with Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (Shell) under Rate Schedule FT-1. Texas Eastern requests the Commission permit the proposed tariff section to become effective April 15, 2011.

The proposed tariff section provides details of Texas Eastern's Contract No. 8919842 with Shell for firm service that commences April 15, 2011, and terminates April 29, 2011. The contract calls for a Maximum Daily Quantity of 5,700 Dth/day, and covers capacity Shell acquired as a result of a temporary release of capacity by New Jersey Natural Gas Company (New Jersey Natural) under its FT-1 service agreement for the period April 15-29, 2011. The proposed tariff section includes rates and rate provisions which are substantively identical to the provisions in the tariff section applicable to the New Jersey Natural agreement. Texas Eastern states the negotiated rate transaction does not deviate in any material respect from the *pro forma* service agreement in Texas Eastern's currently effective tariff.

**ACTIVITIES:**

April 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>25</sup> 15.32, Statement of Negotiated Rates, 0.0.0 to Texas Eastern Database 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-2028**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Revised Tariff Record to Revise Rate Schedule WSS-Open Access (WSS-OA) to**  
**Reflect New Withdrawal Ratchets**

**DATE FILED:** April 26, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 26, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed a revised tariff record<sup>26</sup> to revise Rate Schedule WSS-Open Access (WSS-OA) to reflect new withdrawal ratchets<sup>27</sup> per Transco's proposal to partially abandon approximately 10.5 percent of the total storage deliverability at its Washington Storage Field located in St. Landry Parish, Louisiana. The revisions to Rate Schedule WSS-OA were originally submitted by Transco in a certificate application in Docket No. CP11-45-000, as *pro forma* tariff sections, and were accepted by Commission Order issued March 30, 2011.<sup>28</sup> Transco requests waiver of the Commission's 30-day notice requirement to permit the revised tariff record to become effective May 1, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>26</sup> Section 3.1, Rate Schedule WSS-Open Access, 1.0.0 to Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>27</sup> The withdrawal ratchets provide for a step-down of a customer's daily deliverability entitlement as that customer's working gas storage inventory declines to specified levels.

<sup>28</sup> *Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Co., LLC*, 134 FERC ¶ 61,251 (2011).



**Docket No. RP11-2041**

**Equitrans, L.P.**

**Pro Forma Service Agreement for Firm Storage Service Under Rate Schedule 115SS and 60SS**

**DATE FILED:** April 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 27, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed a tariff record<sup>29</sup> to modify the pro forma service agreement for firm storage service under its Rate Schedule 115SS and 60SS to add a fill-in-the-blank provision that allows any customer with a Total Annual Storage Quantity (TASQ) of 150,000 Dth or less to negotiate the notice period to terminate the contract.

The filing is being made pursuant to the directives of a Commission Order<sup>30</sup> issued on March 28, 2011, which required Equitrans to either delete a non-conforming provision from its contract or to revise its tariff so that all customers were on notice that parties with a "minimal" TASQ may negotiate the termination notice required under that rate schedule.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>29</sup>Section 7.5.1, Rate Schedule 115SS and 60SS - Exhibit A, 3.0.0, to Equitrans Tariff, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>30</sup>*Equitrans, L.P.*, 134 FERC ¶ 61,241 (2011).

**Docket No. RP11-2074**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Adjustment to Reservation Rate Surcharge Applicable to Maiden Lateral**  
**Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** April 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 29, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed a revised tariff record<sup>31</sup> to adjust the reservation rate surcharge applicable to Transco's Maiden Lateral Expansion Project (Maiden Lateral). Specifically, Transco is reducing the current Maiden Lateral maximum daily rate surcharge by \$0.00122 per Dth, from \$0.00574 to \$0.00452 per Dth. The reservation rate surcharge applies to Piedmont Natural Gas Company's (Piedmont) contract demand entitlements under its firm transportation agreements that provide the ability to deliver gas to Piedmont's city-gate delivery points. The filing is pursuant to the reservation rate surcharge methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. CP97-193-000, *et al.*<sup>32</sup> Transco requests that the Commission allow the revised tariff record to become effective June 1, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>31</sup> Section 1.1.3, FT - Maiden Delivery Lateral Rates, 1.0.0 to Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>32</sup> *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.*, 80 FERC ¶ 61,225 (1997).

**Docket No. RP11-2082**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Negotiated Rate Transaction between Texas Eastern and Duke Vermillion**

**DATE FILED:** April 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On April 29, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) filed a tariff record<sup>33</sup> pursuant to section 29 of its General Terms and Conditions to reflect a negotiated rate transaction between Texas Eastern and Duke Vermillion. Texas Eastern states the negotiated rate applies to interruptible service that Texas Eastern will provide to Duke Vermillion on a lateral that is not contiguous to the remainder of the Texas Eastern system. Texas Eastern further states that the tariff record reflects a fixed negotiated usage rate for the interruptible deliveries to Duke Vermillion's generating facility plus an additional charge for 50 percent of any major maintenance costs associated with the Texas Eastern lateral serving the plant. Texas Eastern states that there are no fuel related charges in this agreement because Texas Eastern consumes no fuel and incurs no fuel related costs in the operation of the non-contiguous lateral.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>33</sup> 15.33, Duke Energy Vermillion - contract 712513, 0.0.0, to Texas Eastern Database 1, NGA FERC Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-2108**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Revised Tariff Record To Comply with Order No. 587-U**

**DATE FILED:** May 12, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On May 12, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed a revised tariff record<sup>34</sup> which updates Section 6.8 of its tariff, in compliance with a previous FERC order issued May 4, 2011<sup>35</sup> (May 4 Order). Equitrans requests waiver of the Commission's 30-day notice requirement to allow the revised tariff record to become effective June 1, 2011.

On April 1, 2011, Equitrans filed revised tariff records to comply with the provisions of Order No. 587-U.<sup>36</sup> The Commission accepted the revised tariff records effective June 1, 2011, in the May 4 Order. In the May 4 Order, the Commission noted that Equitrans had made changes to Section 6.8 of its FERC Gas Tariff in Docket No. RP11-1867-001 and accepted Equitrans' tariff records subject to them making a filing to update the tariff records reflected in Docket No. RP11-1867-001.

**ACTIVITIES:**

May 24, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>34</sup> Section 6.8, Scheduling of Services, 2.1.0 to Equitrans Tariff, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>35</sup> Unpublished Director's Letter Order issued in Docket No. RP11-1969-000.

<sup>36</sup> *Standards for Business Practices for Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines*, Order No. 587-U, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,307 (2010) (Order No. 587-U).

**Docket No. RP11-2128**

**Equitrans, L.P.**

**Modification of Tariff to Remove References that Relate Solely to the Big Sandy Pipeline**

**DATE FILED:** May 26, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On May 26, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed revised tariff records,<sup>37</sup> in compliance with Ordering Paragraph Q of the Commission's April 5, 2011 order<sup>38</sup> in Docket No. CP11-43-000 (April 5 Order), to remove references in its tariff that relate solely to the Big Sandy Pipeline, LLC (Big Sandy). The April 5 Order granted Equitrans' request to abandon by transfer to Big Sandy, the Big Sandy facilities previously wholly owned by Equitrans. Ordering Paragraph Q of the April 5 Order directed Equitrans to file to remove the sections of its tariff that relate solely to Big Sandy, upon approval of the requested abandonment.

**ACTIVITIES:**

June 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>37</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>38</sup> 135 FERC ¶ 62,006 (2011).

**Docket No. RP11-2139**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Revision of Tariff to Correct References, Update Gathering Points and Correct Grammatical Error**

**DATE FILED:** May 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On May 27, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed tariff records<sup>39</sup> to its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to (1) correct references, (2) update the list of gathering points and (3) to correct a grammatical error. Transco states that the tariff revisions will have no impact to the rates or services of its customers.

Public notice of Transco's filing was issued on May 31, 2011. Interventions and protests were due as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 154.210 (2011)). Pursuant to Rule 214 (18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2011)), all timely motions to intervene and any unopposed motion to intervene out-of-time filed before the issuance date of this order are granted. Granting late interventions at this stage of the proceeding will not disrupt the proceeding or place additional burdens on existing parties. No protests or adverse comments were filed.

**ACTIVITIES:**

June 8, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>39</sup> See attached Appendix for a list of the tariff records.

**Docket No. RP11-2151**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission**  
**Modification of Cash-Out Provision in Tariff**

**DATE FILED:** May 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On May 31, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) filed a revised tariff record<sup>40</sup> to modify the cash-out provisions in its tariff. Texas Eastern is proposing to modify section 8.5 of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff to: 1) clarify the timing of the cash-out bills; 2) modify the determination of the cash-out index price; and 3) clarify the quantity used to determine the imbalance percentage for a customer's imbalance.

**ACTIVITIES:**

June 13, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>40</sup> 8., Imbalance Resolution Procedures, 1.0.0 to Texas Eastern Database 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket No. RP11-2210**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Request for Waiver of 30-Day Notice Requirement**

**DATE FILED:** June 23, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On June 23, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed tariff records<sup>41</sup> reflecting two temporary negotiated capacity release agreements under Rate Schedule FT with Hess Corporation (Hess), the replacement shipper, for capacity released on a temporary basis from Southern Company Services, Inc. (Southern). Transco is also filing to add a new tariff section 13, to Part II of its tariff, which will contain summaries of any negotiated rate agreements entered into by Transco. Transco requests waiver of the Commission's regulations to permit the negotiated rate agreement to become effective June 9, 2011.

The two negotiated rate included in the new tariff section reflect that Transco will provide Hess under Contract Nos. 9110812 and 9110813, with maximum daily contract quantities of 3,000 Dth/day and 28,5000 Dth/day, respectively, of firm transportation service under Transco's Rate Schedule FT. Both agreements have contract terms beginning on June 9, 2011 and extending through October 31, 2012. Transco states that the agreements do not deviate in any material respect from its Rate Schedule FT form of service agreement.

Transco contends that due to the timing of the capacity release it was unable to file the tariff sections prior to the effective date of the agreement, but is filing as soon as reasonably practicable, *i.e.* June 23, 2011. In this instance we will grant Transco's request for waiver of the 30-day notice filing requirement, and accept the amendment to become effective June 9, 2011. However, Transco is reminded, again, that in the future it must comply with the Commission's Statement of Policy on Alternatives to Traditional Cost-Of-Service Ratemaking for Natural Gas Pipelines and Regulation of Negotiated Transportation Services of Natural Gas Pipelines,<sup>42</sup> where the Commission stated that it would readily waive the 30-day notice requirement, but that pipelines should file negotiated rate tariff sheets on or before the effective dates. Two weeks after the date that gas begins to flow under a contract seems to be an unreasonable amount of time in which to submit the executed agreement with the Commission. Negotiated rates generally are not made effective earlier than the filing date of the proposal, unless the

---

<sup>41</sup> Section 1, Table of Contents, 5.0.0; Section 13, Summary of Negotiated Commodity Rates, 0.0.0; Section 13.1, Summary of Negotiated Commodity Rate, 0.0.0; and Section 13.2, Summary of Negotiated Commodity Rate, 0.0.0 to Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>42</sup> 74 FERC ¶ 61,076 at 61,241 – 61,242.



filing is dependent on information available on the first business day of the month, and that date falls after the first day of the month.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 5, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-2217**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Modification of Tariff to Reflect Non-Conforming Service Agreements**

**DATE FILED:** May 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On June 28, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (Dominion) filed revised tariff records<sup>43</sup> to: (1) reflect two non-conforming service agreements and their associated FT Agreements, Contract No. FT-200579 with PSEG Energy Resources (PSEG) and Contract No. FT 200582 with NJR Energy Services (NJR); (2) revise the listing of non-conforming service agreements; and (3) incorporate minor housekeeping changes. Dominion requests the Commission grant all waivers necessary to allow the revised tariff records to become effective July 28, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>43</sup> See Appendix.

**Docket No. RP11-2234**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Electric Power Cost Adjustment**

**DATE FILED:** June 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On June 30, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP, (Texas Eastern) filed, pursuant to Section 15.1 of the General Terms and Conditions of its Texas Eastern Database 1, tariff records<sup>44</sup> to adjust the Electric Power Cost (EPC) components of its rates. Texas Eastern requests waiver to allow the tariff records to become effective August 1, 2011.

Texas Eastern's filing reflects revised rates for each applicable zone and rate schedule, based upon the projected annual EPC required for the operation of transmission compressor stations with electric motor prime movers. The tariff records also include EPC Surcharges designed to clear the balance in the Deferred EPC Account for the twelve-month period beginning February 1, 2011.<sup>45</sup> The tariff records further reflect changes in Texas Eastern's EPC components for the Freehold, TIME, TIME II and M-1 Expansion Projects on Texas Eastern's system, as well as the latest approved incremental services under the TIME III Project and the TEMAX Project.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>44</sup> See attached Appendix for a listing of the filed tariff records.

<sup>45</sup> By OMER letter order issued January 26, 2011, the Commission accepted Texas Eastern's last EPC filing, effective February 1, 2011, in Docket No. RP11-1669-000, which included EPC surcharges designed to clear the balance in the deferred EPC Account as of October 31, 2010, over the twelve month period beginning February 1, 2011.

**Docket No. RP11-2239**

**Equitrans, L.P.**

**Revision of Negotiated Rate Service Agreement with EQT Energy LLC**

**DATE FILED: June 30, 2011**

**BACKGROUND**

On June 30, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans) filed a tariff record<sup>46</sup> pursuant to section 6.30 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its FERC Gas Tariff to revise a negotiated rate service agreement with EQT Energy LLC (EQT Energy). Equitrans requests waiver to allow the revised negotiated rate service agreement and the tariff record to become effective July 1, 2011.

Equitrans states that on October 25, 2010 in Docket No. RP10-1408-000 the Commission accepted tariff records submitted by Equitrans reflecting seven negotiated rate transactions, including one with EQT Energy.<sup>47</sup> Further, Equitrans states that on December 16, 2010 in Docket No. RP11-1543 the Commission accepted Equitrans' revised Exhibit A to EQT Energy's negotiated rate agreement reflecting higher firm transportation volumes and a corrected termination date to become effective December 1, 2010.<sup>48</sup> Equitrans states that, subsequently, it and EQT Energy entered into a revised Exhibit A reflecting higher firm transportation volumes to become effective on July 1, 2011. Equitrans states that all other terms and conditions of service, including the negotiated rate to be charged to EQT Energy, remain unchanged.

Equitrans' proposed tariff record consists of the revised negotiated rate FTS service agreement entered into with EQT Energy. Exhibit A to the agreement reflects higher firm transportation volumes, adds and removes delivery and receipt points, and changes the effective date to July 1, 2011. Exhibit C to the agreement, as before, provides the contract's negotiated rates and establishes a negotiated retainage rate and tracker and true-up mechanism for fuel and lost and unaccounted for gas. The agreement provides that when EQT Energy nominates off-system (i.e., on the Sunrise expansion project),<sup>49</sup> Equitrans will waive the Pipeline Safety Cost Tracker (PSCT)<sup>50</sup> surcharge and charge a fuel retention rate designed to recover the actual fuel and lost and unaccounted

---

<sup>46</sup> Section 3.2, EQT Energy, LLC-EQTR10349-516, 1.0. to Equitrans Tariff, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>47</sup> *Equitrans L.P.*, Docket No. RP10-1408-000 (Oct. 25, 2010) (unpublished letter order).

<sup>48</sup> *Equitrans L.P.*, Docket No. RP11-1543-000 (Dec. 16, 2010) (unpublished letter order).

<sup>49</sup> On January 27, 2011, as amended on February 1, 2011, Equitrans filed an abbreviated certificate application in Docket No. CP11-68-000 to construct the Sunrise facilities. The Certificate was issued on July 21, 2011. *Equitrans Pipeline Co. L.P.*, 136 FERC ¶ 61,046 (2011).

<sup>50</sup> Equitrans' PSCT is governed by section 6.38 of the GT&C.

for gas, as described in more detail below. However, when EQT Energy nominates on Equitrans' existing system, it is subject to the PSCT surcharge and the fuel retainage rate in Equitrans' tariff (i.e., 3.72 percent).

Prior to the in-service date of the Sunrise project, when EQT Energy nominates off-system, Equitrans will retain 2 percent of EQT Energy's off-system nominated receipts volumes (estimated retainage rate). On a quarterly basis, Equitrans will calculate the actual fuel usage and will true-up the difference between the actual fuel usage and the estimated retainage rate. After the in-service date of the Sunrise project, EQT Energy will continue to pay the 2 percent estimated retainage rate on its off-system nominated receipts volumes, but the true-up will utilize the fuel usage of the entire Sunrise project, rather than the specific fuel usage of the service provided for EQT Energy.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-2259**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC**  
**Amendments to Previously Filed Service Agreements**

**DATE FILED:** July 7, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 7, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed seventeen tariff records which contain amendments to previously filed service agreements which contain negotiated rates under Rate Schedules FT and ESS. The amendment adds to the end of either section 1, Article V, of Rate Schedule FT contracts or to the end of section 1, Article IV, of Rate Schedule ESS contracts the credit support provisions set forth in precedent agreements of certain expansion projects. The amendments are fill in the blank provisions that set forth optional language to be used when a precedent agreement for a pipeline expansion project provides for additional credit requirements that continue in effect during the term of the project shipper's service agreement.

In general, when reviewing any provision that differs from a *pro forma* service agreement, the Commission first determines whether it is a material deviation. If a pipeline and a shipper enter into a contract that materially deviates from the pipeline's form of service agreement, the Commission's regulations require the pipeline to file the contract containing the material deviations with the Commission.<sup>51</sup> In *Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation*,<sup>52</sup> the Commission clarified that a material deviation is any provision in a Transportation Service Agreement that: (1) goes beyond filling in the blank spaces with the appropriate information allowed by the tariff; and (2) affects the substantive rights of the parties.<sup>53</sup> The Commission prohibits negotiated terms and conditions of service that result in a customer receiving a different quality of service than that offered to other customers under the pipeline's generally applicable tariff,<sup>54</sup> or that affect the quality of service received by others.<sup>55</sup> Finally, the Commission need not accept non-conforming provisions for which the filer has failed to provide "a detailed narrative outlining the terms of its negotiated contract, the manner in which such terms

---

<sup>51</sup> 18 C.F.R. § 154.1(d) (2011).

<sup>52</sup> 97 FERC ¶ 61,221 (2001).

<sup>53</sup> In *Natural Gas Pipeline Negotiated Rate Policies and Practices*, 104 FERC ¶ 61,134, at P 27 (2003), the Commission stated "[s]ince there would appear to be no reason for the parties to use language different from that in the form of service agreement other than to affect the substantive right of the parties, this effectively means that all language that is different from the form of service agreement should be filed with the Commission." *Id.* P 32.

<sup>54</sup> *Texas Eastern Transmission, LP*, 123 FERC ¶ 61,095, at P 14 n.6 (2008).

<sup>55</sup> *See Dominion Transmission, Inc.*, 93 FERC ¶ 61,177 (2000).

differ from its form of service agreement, the effect of such terms on the rights of the parties, and why such deviation does not present a risk of undue discrimination.”<sup>56</sup>

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 19, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>56</sup> *Natural Gas Pipeline Negotiated Rate Policies and Practices*, 104 FERC ¶ 61,134, at P 33 (2003). *See also East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.*, 107 FERC ¶ 61,197, at P 10 (2004).

**Docket No. RP11-2265**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Report of Overrun/Penalty Revenue Distribution**

**DATE FILED:** July 11, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 11, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed an overrun/penalty revenue distribution report pursuant to Section 41.C of the General Terms and Conditions ("GT&C") of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1. The report reflects that on June 30, 2011, DTI distributed a net refund of \$134,225.16 (inclusive of \$2,045.27 in interest) for unauthorized overrun charges and penalty revenues collected by DTI during the twelve month period ending March 31, 2011. The report complies with DTI's tariff provisions and is accepted for filing.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 25, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket No. RP11-2266**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Revised Tariff Record to Reflect Negotiated Rate Filing**

**DATE FILED:** July 12, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 12, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed revised tariff records<sup>57</sup> to reflect a new and a revised negotiated rate transaction with Chesapeake Energy Marketing, Inc. (Chesapeake).

DTI and Chesapeake have entered into a negotiated rate agreement with respect to large, incremental quantities that are gathered on DTI's Line TL-404 for processing. Such volumes are expected to commence flow shortly after completion of the refunctionalization of Line TL-404 from transportation to gathering. The previous negotiated rate in which the parties have agreed to a gathering and processing rates identical to the rates in the 2009 Independent Oil and Gas Association of West Virginia (IOGA) Negotiated Rate<sup>58</sup> is reflected on Tariff Record No. 40.46.11 and will continue to apply to Chesapeake's traditional Appalachian volumes. However, DTI states that during recent negotiations with Chesapeake, DTI agreed to new long-term monetized rates that will apply to the incremental quantity of gas to be received at receipt point(s) on the newly refunctionalized TL-404. These new negotiated rates are reflected on Tariff Record No. 40.46.12.

**ACTIVITIES:**

July 25, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>57</sup> Tariff Record 40.46.11, GT&C Section 39.11 - Negotiated Rate - Chesapeake, 1.0.0; and Tariff Record 40.46.12, GT&C Section 39.12 - Negotiated Rate - Chesapeake, 2.0.0 to DTI Tariffs, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>58</sup> On December 1, 2008, DTI filed a negotiated rate filing in Docket No. RP96-383-089, which proposed the 2009 IOGA Negotiated Rate effective January 1, 2009 for DTI's Appalachian pool operators. The filing was approved by the Commission in an unpublished letter order dated December 19, 2008, in Docket No. RP96-383-089.

**Docket No. RP11-2282**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Update Listing of Gathering Lines**

**DATE FILED:** July 12, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 19, 2011, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed a revised tariff record<sup>59</sup> to update its listing of gathering lines to reflect Line TL-404. An Office of Energy Projects Director Letter Order issued March 24, 2011<sup>60</sup> granted DTI's request to permit the refunctionalization of its existing Line No. TL-404 facilities from a transmission function to a gathering function. DTI proposes to include the refunctionalized Line No. TL-404 as a wet gathering line in its FERC NGA Gas Tariff. DTI requests the Commission grant waiver of its 30-day notice requirement and accept the tariff record effective July 22, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 1, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>59</sup> Tariff Record 8, TL Lines, 2.0.0to DTI Tariffs, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

<sup>60</sup> *Dominion Transmission, Inc.*, 134 FERC ¶ 62,277 (2011).

**Docket No. RP11-2303**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreement with Northeast Natural Energy, LLC**

**DATE FILED:** July 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 27, 2011, Equitrans, LP (Equitrans) filed the referenced tariff record pursuant to section 6.30 of the General Terms & Conditions (GT&C) of its FERC Gas Tariff to submit a negotiated rate service agreement with Northeast Natural Energy, LLC. Equitrans requests waiver of the notice period to allow the negotiated rate service agreement and the tariff record to become effective August 1, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 8, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket No. RP11-2304**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Rates for Transportation Service to be provided on the Marietta Extension**

**DATE FILED:** July 27, 2011

**BACKGROUND**

On July 27, 2011, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) filed revised tariff records<sup>61</sup> to incorporate the tariff sections related to the rates for firm and interruptible transportation service to be provided exclusively on the Marietta Extension in compliance with Texas Eastern's certificate authorization order in Docket No. CP09-68-000.<sup>62</sup>

Texas Eastern states that it has completed nearly all of the remaining Texas Eastern Market Area Crossing Project (TEMAX) and the Texas Eastern Incremental Market Area Expansion III Project (TIME III) facilities authorized by the Certificate Order, which includes the approximately 29-mile Marietta Extension. The Marietta Extension is that portion of Texas Eastern's pipeline system that now extends from the discharge side of the Marietta compressor station located in East Donegal, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, to an interconnection with Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, LLC (Transco) in the vicinity of Transco's Station 195 in York County, Pennsylvania. Texas Eastern states that the TEMA X and TIME III Projects are in service<sup>63</sup> and it is now ready to place the Marietta Extension facilities and additional compression into service.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 8, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

---

<sup>61</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>62</sup> *Texas Eastern Transmission, LP*, 129 FERC ¶ 61,151 (2009) (Certificate Order), *order granting and denying reh'g*, 131 FERC ¶ 61,164 (2010).

<sup>63</sup> See *Texas Eastern Transmission, LP*, Letter Order, Docket No. RP11-18-000 (Oct. 26, 2010); see also *Texas Eastern Transmission, LP*, Notice of Service Commencement on November 1, 2010 for TEMA X and TIME III Projects in Docket No. CP09-68, Docket No. RP11-18-000 (Nov. 8, 2010).

**Docket RP11-2305  
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC  
Transportation Rate Changes – X-28**

**DATE FILED:** July 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On July 28, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (“Transco”) submitted for filing tariff sections for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to track rate changes attributable to storage service purchased from Texas Eastern Transmission, LP under its Rate Schedule X-28, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco’s Rate Schedule S-2.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 9, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2363**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Shared Contractual Segment Entitlements**

**DATE FILED:** August 17, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 11, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to add new General Terms & Conditions Section 14.3(C) to provide the option for a customer to opt out of its rights to share contractual segment entitlements in any applicable segment(s) across certain of its firm service agreements. The section provides that an aggregate maximum daily delivery obligations ("AMDDO") customer may advise Tetco that it does not want to share its segment entitlements across its AMDDO Service Agreements by submitting such election via the LINK System for any Gas Day prior to the nomination deadline for the Timely Nomination Cycle for such Gas Day.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 23, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2371**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Operational Balancing Agreements**

**DATE FILED:** August 11, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 11, 2011, Transco submitted for filing tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to revise Section 25.8 of the General Terms & Conditions to allow for negotiation of additional imbalance resolution provisions of an Operational Balancing Agreement

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 23, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2382**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Credit Requirements Related to Expansion Project Costs**

**DATE FILED:** August 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 16, 2011, Transco submitted for filing tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to revise optional language for use under Rate Schedule FT, ESS, and FDLS to be used when a precedent agreement for a pipeline expansion project provides for additional credit requirements related to project costs that continue in effect during the term of the project shipper's service agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 29, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket RP11-2388**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Negotiated Rates – UGI Energy Services**

**DATE FILED:** August 17, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On July 29, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing a revised tariff record for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a negotiated rate agreement between Dominion and UGI Energy Services, Inc.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 10, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2400**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Penalty Sharing Revenue – Rate Schedules GSS and LGS**

**DATE FILED:** August 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 18, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a refund report showing a refund it received from Dominion Transmission, Inc. ("Dominion") under Docket No. RP11-2265-000 for service rendered to Transco under Dominion's Rate Schedule GSS. The report also included the calculation of the total refund amount to be allocated to each of Transco's GSS and LSS customers.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 30, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2401**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing**

**DATE FILED:** August 18, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

August 18, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing tariff sections for First Revised Volume No. 1 to implement the new Annual Charge Adjustment charge to provide for the recovery of the annual charges assessed by the Commission pursuant to Part 382 of the Commission's regulations.

**ACTIVITIES:**

August 30, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2432**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Negotiated Rates – Pascagoula Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** August 26, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 26, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a tariff record for inclusion in Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect its Pascagoula Expansion Project rates.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2521  
Dominion Transmission, Inc.  
Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing**

**DATE FILED:** August 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 31, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing tariff sections for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to update its Annual Charge Adjustment unit charge in accordance with the General Terms & Conditions to reflect the calculation of the annual charge bill for the subsequent fiscal year.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2455**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing**

**DATE FILED:** August 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 29, 2011, Transco submitted for filing tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a decrease in the Annual Charge Adjustment charge in the commodity portion of Transco's rates.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2470**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – Angola LNG Supply Services, LLC**

**DATE FILED:** August 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 30, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a negotiated rate service agreement between Transco and Angola LNG Supply Services, LLC that includes non-conforming provisions.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2471**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Non-Conforming Service Agreements – Pascagoula Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** August 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 31, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a revised record for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to update the list of non-confirming service agreements effective September 30, 2011 and to reflect an agreement for transportation service under Transco's Pascagoula Expansion Project between Transco and Angola LNG Supply Services LLC.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket RP11-2506**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – ConocoPhillips Co.**

**DATE FILED:** August 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 31, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a revised negotiated rate service agreement between Tetco and ConocoPhillips Company for service on the TEMAX facilities.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2526**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing**

**DATE FILED:** August 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On August 31, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing revised tariff sections for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect the fiscal year 2011 Annual Charge Adjustment unit charge included in the Gas Program Cost Analysis.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2543**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Operational Segment Capacity Entitlements**

**DATE FILED:** September 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 1, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing its report of recalculated Operational Segment Capacity Entitlements, along with supporting documentation, pursuant to section 9.1 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its FERC NGA Gas Tariff, Eighth Revised Volume No. 1, an annual report reflecting the Operational Segment Capacity Entitlements to be effective November 1, 2011. Texas Eastern's 2011 Operational Entitlements report is accepted for filing.

In summary, the total Operational Entitlements in Texas Eastern's Access Area Zones are unchanged from last year. Texas Eastern states that since the only changes in the 2011 Operational Entitlements are attributed to contract terminations and new contracts, no adjustments were made as of November 1, 2011 to capacity obtained by customers pursuant to the capacity release procedures set forth in section 3.14 of the GT&C of Texas Eastern's FERC Gas Tariff.

**ACTIVITIES:**

September 13, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2577**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Abandonment – Rate Schedules X-71 and X-72**

**DATE FILED:** September 22, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 22, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing tariff records for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 and Fifth Revised Volume No. 2 to comply with the Commission's September 9, 2011 order in Docket No. CP11-538, which authorized abandonment of the services provided under Rate Schedules X-71 and X-72.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 4, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2605**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Reservation Credits - ESS and EESWS Rate Schedules**

**DATE FILED:** September 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 28, 2011, Transco submitted for filing tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1. Transco proposes tariff provisions under Rate Schedules ESS and EESWS that will provide customers under those rate schedules with reservation charge credits when Transco orders the interruption or reduction of service under the provisions of Section 11.3 of the General Terms & Conditions of its tariff.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2609**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Non-Conforming Service Agreements – PPL Energy Plus, LLC**

**DATE FILED:** September 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 28, 2011, Transco submitted for filing a tariff record to comply with the August 15, 2011 Order in Docket Nos. RP10-608 and RP10-613 (“August 15 Order”). Transco added language to its tariff to provide options to certain local distribution companies (“LDC”) in the event Transco provides service directly to an existing gas burning facility that, prior to the date of such direct service, was served by the LDC. Transco also revised two non-conforming firm transportation service agreements accepted by the August 15 Order by revising the rollover provisions of those agreements to conform to Transco’s pro forma service agreement. Transco also provided further explanation of the liquidated damages clause in its service agreement with PPL Energy Plus, LLC.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2619**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Annual Cash-Out Report**

**DATE FILED:** September 29, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 29, 2011, Transco submitted for filing its Annual Cash-Out Report for the period August 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011 in compliance with the Commission's June 19, 1991 Order Approving Settlements as Modified and Issuing Certificates in Docket Nos. CP88-391-004 et al., the December 3, 1993 order in Docket No. RP93-162-002, and Section 15 of Transco's General Terms and Conditions.

On September 29, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) filed its annual cash-out report for the period August 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011. The cash-out report reflects a cumulative over-recovery of \$7,171,784.00 for the annual period. Transco states that in accordance with section 15 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff, it refunded the net over-recovery to the appropriate parties on September 29, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 11, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2625  
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC  
Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) Tracker Filing**

**DATE FILED:** September 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 30, 2011, Transco submitted for filing revised tariff records to track rate changes resulting from a decrease in the Annual Charge Adjustment rate from \$0.0019 to \$0.0018 attributable to storage and transportation services purchased from other entities.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.



**Docket RP11-2626**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Fuel Retention Percentages**

**DATE FILED:** September 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 30, 2011, Transco submitted revised tariff records pursuant to Section 38 of its General Terms and Conditions, which provides that Transco will file a redetermination of its fuel retention percentage applicable to Rate Schedules LG-A, LNG and LG-S to be effective each November 1.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2650**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Electric Power Cost Adjustment (EPCA) Filing**

**DATE FILED:** September 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 30, 2011, DTI submitted for filing revised tariff records to update DTI's effective Electric Power Cost Adjustment through the mechanism described in Section 17 of its General Terms and Conditions.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP11-2651**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment (TCRA) Filing**

**DATE FILED:** September 30, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On September 30, 2011, DTI submitted for filing revised tariff records to update DTI's effective Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment through the mechanism described in Section 15 of its General Terms and Conditions.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case.

**Docket RP12-18**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP**  
**Non-Conforming Service Agreements – Patriots Energy Group**

**DATE FILED:** October 13, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 13, 2011, Transco submitted for filing two non-conforming firm transportation service agreements for inclusion in Original Volume No. 1A. The service agreements are between Transco and Patriots Energy Group and each contains a non-conforming Exhibit A.

**ACTIVITIES:**

October 26, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-33**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreements – TEMAX Expansion Project**

**DATE FILED:** October 21, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 21, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing tariff sections for its FERC Gas Tariff, Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 and Filed Agreements, to reflect non-conforming and negotiated rate agreements between Tetco and ConocoPhillips Company for service on the TEMAX Project facilities. The agreements include a revised negotiated rate agreement, a new negotiated rate agreement, and a non-conforming service agreement.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 2, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-38**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**PCB-Related Cost Filing**

**DATE FILED:** October 24, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 24, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing tariff sections for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect an increase in the PCB-Related Cost component of certain of Tetco's currently effective rates. The filing was made in compliance with the Stipulation and Agreement filed by Tetco in its RP88-67, et al. settlement and Section 26 of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff.

The referenced tariff records<sup>64</sup> filed by Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (Texas Eastern) on October 29, 2010, are accepted effective December 1, 2010, as proposed. The tariff records comply with the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Docket No. RP88-67, *et al.* (Phase II/PCBs) (58 FERC ¶ 61,295 (1992)) and section 26 of the General Terms and Conditions of Texas Eastern's FERC Gas Tariff. The tariff records reflect a small increase in the PCB-related cost component of certain of Texas Eastern's currently effective rates for the period December 1, 2011 to November 30, 2012 (Year 21).

The filing reflects a PCB component of \$436,438 to be recovered in Texas Eastern's rates for the period of December 1, 2011 through November 30, 2012. This represents an increase of approximately \$56,927 from the net recoverable amount of \$379,511 contained in last year's filing.

Texas Eastern states in the instant filing that its PCB component of \$436,438 reflects an IT revenue credit of approximately \$15,113, a deferred account balance of approximately \$2,820,913 and a 15% increase limitation on the prior year's recoverable costs of \$4,161,462.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

---

<sup>64</sup> 1., Rate Schedule CDS, 3.0.0; 2., Rate Schedule FT-1, 5.0.0; 3., Rate Schedule SCT, 3.0.0; 4., Rate Schedule IT-1, 3.0.0 12., Individual Certificated Transportation Rate Schedules, 1.0.0; and 14., Notice of Rate Change Adjustments, 3.0.0 to Texas Eastern Database 1, FERC NGA Gas Tariff.

**Docket RP12-59**  
**Dominion Transmission, Inc.**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreement – Statoil Natural Gas LLC**

**DATE FILED:** October 26, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 26, 2011, Dominion submitted for filing a tariff record for Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a negotiated Rate Schedule FT agreement between Dominion and Statoil Natural Gas LLC.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 7, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-89**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**Applicable Shrinkage Adjustment (ASA) Percentages Filing**

**DATE FILED: October 31, 2011**

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 31, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing tariff sections for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 and Second Revised Volume No. 2 to reflect changes in Applicable Shrinkage Adjustment ("ASA") Percentages for system customers. The changes include an increase to the annual average ASA Percentage for typical long-haul service of 0.27% and an ASA Surcharge of 0.52 cents per dth. Tetco also proposed to recover from its system customers approximately \$3.5 million on an annual basis by means of ASA Surcharge rates. Tetco also submitted for filing its Annual Interruptible Revenue Reconciliation Report.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case



**Docket RP12-91**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Penalty Revenue Sharing Report**

**DATE FILED:** October 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 31, 2011, Transco submitted for filing its Penalty Sharing Report to reflect revenue sharing of penalty dollars collected during the two year period ending July 31, 2011.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-94**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Rate Schedules GSS and LSS Refund**

**DATE FILED:** October 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 31, 2011, Transco submitted for filing revised tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to track rate changes attributable to storage services purchased from Dominion Transmission, Inc. under its Rate Schedule GSS, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Rate Schedules GSS and LSS.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-110**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Negotiated Rate Service Agreements – South Carolina Electric & Gas Company**

**DATE FILED:** October 31, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On October 31, 2011, Transco submitted for filing revised tariff records for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 ("Tariff") to expand the purpose of Part II, Section 13 of its Tariff to include summaries of negotiated rate agreements that contain negotiated reservation rates and/or negotiated commodity rates. Transco also submitted for filing a tariff record that summarizes a negotiated rate storage service agreement between Transco and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-119**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Revision to Rate Schedule ITS Form of Service Agreement**

**DATE FILED:** November 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing tariff sections for First Revised Volume No. 1 to modify Exhibit A to the Form of Service Agreement associated with Rate Schedule ITS to clarify the notice provision for renewals after the expiration of the primary term.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-124**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Operational Gas Purchases and Sales**

**DATE FILED:** November 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing a report of its Operational Purchases and Sales for the twelve-month period ending August 31, 2011. Specifically, section 6.45[1] details the reason Equitrans would buy or sell gas. Section 6.45[2] provides the details Equitrans will post on its EBB System in which all shippers will have the opportunity to compete for these volumes and all bids will be evaluated on a non-discriminatory basis. Section 6.45[3] details reasons Equitrans may reject a bid or withdraw its posting. Section 6.45[4] details the shipper's requirements for bidding. Section 6.45[5] states that any operational transaction will have lower priority than firm service. Finally, section 6.45[6] details the annual report Equitrans will file that will provide details to the Commission on all executed operational purchases and sales. Equitrans states that the report will be filed on or before November 1 and include information for the prior 12 month period ending August 31. Equitrans states that, any operational sales or purchases made pursuant to the proposed tariff provision would not have any rate impact on its customers; nor would such transactions impact Equitrans' fuel calculations. Further, Equitrans states that it will also not sell gas which would adversely affect Equitrans' system operations. Finally, Equitrans notes that this proposal was circulated to a number of its customers, and the proposal reflects comments that have been received from those customers.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 14, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-153**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**Statement of Negotiated Rates**

**DATE FILED:** November 15, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 15, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing tariff sections for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to delete the contents of various Statements of Negotiated Rates and to reflect that each of the sections is reserved for future use.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 28, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-156**  
**Texas Eastern Transmission, LP.**  
**Negotiated Rate FT-1 Service Agreement**

**DATE FILED:** November 16, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 16, 2011, Tetco submitted for filing a tariff section for Eighth Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect a negotiated rate transaction between Tetco and South Jersey Resources Group LLC for firm transportation service under Rate Schedule FT-1.

**ACTIVITIES:**

November 28, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case

**Docket RP12-174**  
**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC**  
**Rate Schedule X-28 Changes**

**DATE FILED:** November 28, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 28, 2011, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC ("Transco") submitted for filing a tariff section for Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 to track rate changes attributable to storage service purchased from Texas Eastern Transmission, LP under its Rate Schedule X-28 the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Rate Schedule S-2.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 12, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case



**Docket RP12-213**  
**Equitrans, L.P.**  
**Operational Flow Order**

**DATE FILED:** December 1, 2011

**BACKGROUND:**

On December 1, 2011, Equitrans, L.P. ("Equitrans") submitted for filing pursuant to Section 6.11(9) of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff a notice of Operational Flow Order that was issued on November 23, 2011 for natural gas gathering service provided under Rate Schedule AGS.

**ACTIVITIES:**

December 13, 2011 - Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") filed a motion to intervene to protect its interest in this case